

FERMI-LAT MEASUREMENT OF COSMIC-RAY ELECTRON SPECTRUM WITH PASS 8

Carmelo Sgrò

INFN-Pisa carmelo.sgro@pi.infn.it

on behalf of the Fermi LAT collaboration

October 20, 2014

THE LAT AS ELECTRON TELESCOPE

- ► The LAT is designed for E. M. showers
 - Naturally including electrons
 (e⁺ + e⁻)
 - No direct charge separation
- Triggering on (almost) every particle that crosses the LAT
- Sending to ground all events depositing more than 20 GeV in the CAL
- Electron identification
 - Dedicated event selection
- CRE spectrum and limits on anisotropy already published
- ► The goal of Pass 8 electron analysis is:
 - Update the results with a superior event level analysis
 - Extend the energy range



The New event reconstruction package: Pass 8

- Pass 8 is a complete rework of the entire event level analysis
 - See Philippe Bruel talk (in session 10B) for details
- Effectively a new instrument, with superior performance



INTRODUCTION TO THE NEW ANALYSIS



Basic quality cuts:

- At least a reconstructed track and 5 GeV of energy deposition in the CAL
- A loose selection on the PSF quality (using the same handle as in γ-ray analysis)
- At least 8 radiation length in the CAL
- ► Alpha and heavier particles are removed using simple selections (next slide)
- ▶ Field of view is limited to 60°
- \blacktriangleright Using all runs in survey mode available up to now: ${\sim}3.9$ year of livetime

Alpha particle removal



- Alpha and ions are relatively easy to separate using, e.g., the pulse height information in the ACD and the tracker
- ► Their hadronic interactions are comparatively hard to simulate
- We have a series of simple cuts to bring down the alpha/ion contamination to a negligible level
 - In the following stages of the event selection we are essentially dealing with only two classes of events (electrons and protons)

- \blacktriangleright Take advantage of the experience with γ rays
 - ► Select γ/e[±]/etc. candidate at different background level
 - Assess the quality of the reconstructed direction and energy
- Extensive use of multivariate classification technique
 - Exploiting the TMVA package (http://tmva.sourceforge.net)
 - Boosted Decision Trees (BDT) provide the best performance
- Several combination of training setting tested
 - BDT parameters (tree depth, boosting, etc...)
 - Input variables



Decision Tree:

- Sequential application of cuts splits the data into nodes, where the final nodes classify an event as Signal or Background
- Well known in "data mining", becoming popular in Physics

Relevant quantities in the subsystems



- Shower transverse size
- Shower profile fit χ^2

- Time Over Threshold: energy deposition in the TKR
 - Extra hits around the main track

OUTPUT OF THE CLASSIFIER



- Example of one of the BDT we are using
- Others classifier configurations under evaluation, with same procedure

- Compared with flight data
 - Testing the data-MC agreement
 - Using MC with a realistic energy spectrum
 - Fitting only normalization
 - Estimating signal directly from the fit
 - Estimating the residual background correction

- Event selection done with a cut on this quantity
 - Scanning several efficiency level
 - Testing stability of the spectrum
 - Residual contamination corrected with fit result

INSTRUMENT RESPONSE



- Testing the stability of the spectrum in this very wide range
 - Spectrum variation likely relate to data-MC disagreement
- ► Form 90% to 20%, (almost) energy-independent
 - Maybe a too wide...
- Average acceptance (after cuts) for this scan shown on the left
- "Best" cut can be evaluated using the MC-based ROC, as the point in which the slope goes above a defined threshold
- Bottom plot shows the corresponding residual contamination
 - Can be very large at high energy

Preliminary $e^+ + e^-$ spectrum



- Shaded region includes the maximum variation of the spectrum in the efficiency scan
- Central points from the "best" cut
- Result from template fit within uncertainties

• Effect of absolute energy scale uncertainty not included in the plot

- We have evidence that at least a significant part of the difference with our 2010 result is due to "ghost" signal
 - This was not taken into account in the acceptance in our first analysis
 - \blacktriangleright Subsequent studies (e.g. the control region in the positron analysis) suggest an overestimation of acceptance by 10–15% at $\sim 10~{\rm GeV}$
 - Pass 8 is designed to be insensitive to "ghost"

CONCLUSIONS

- ▶ A new measurement of the $e^+ + e^-$ spectrum with ~ 6 years of data and extending to 1.2 TeV is presented
- ► The new Pass 8 event reconstruction and selection performs very well
 - Better rejection power than the previous analysis
 - No sign of dependence from "ghost" signal
- Pass 8 is still young also for electron analyses
 - Results are preliminary
 - Working on improvements for energy extension, angle-resolved analyses, reduced systematics