

Particle beam test for the GLAST-LAT Calibration

M.N. Mazziotta INFN-Bari mazziotta@ba.infn.it On behalf of Beam Test Working Group

The GLAST-LAT Calibration Program

Calibration of any astronomical instrument is essential to the interpretation of its results, in particular the pre-launch calibration is crucial for a complex detector such as GLAST-LAT.

- LAT Calibration Strategy
 - Analysis by Monte Carlo Simulations
 - Test
 - Charged Cosmic rays (pre-launch and on-orbit)
 - Particle Beam test
- Calibration Unit Beam Test
 - Direct LAT calibration on a beam is too demanding
 - Most events on orbit contained in 2 towers
- Calibration Unit (CU) Beam Test Plan
 - build a fraction of the LAT using available flight spare modules
 - expose CU to variety of beams (at CERN and GSI)
 - photons, electrons, protons, positrons, heavy ions
 - energies from 100MeV to 300GeV
 - many different configurations (angle, impact point)
 - directly measure CU performance
 - validate full LAT Monte-Carlo simulation



Accelerator facilities

CERN – Geneva

- T9 beam line at PS
 - Beam extracted from PS (24 GeV/c primary proton)
 - Secondary beam (e±, π±, K±, p, …) 0.5-15 GeV/c
- H4 beam line at SPS
 - Beam extracted from SPS (400 GeV/c primary proton)
 - Secondary beam (e±, π±, K±, p, …) 10 300 GeV/c
 - Tertiary "Clean" beam (e±, π±, p) 10 300 GeV/c

GSI – Darmstadt

Relativistic heavy ions (Carbon and Xe) 1.5 GeV/n

More details on the poster session (P.19.43)



The CERN campaign

- 4 weeks at PS/T9 area, 26/7-23/8
 - γ @ 0-2.5 GeV
 - e @ 0.5 5 GeV
 - e+ @ 1 GeV (through MMS target)
 - p @ 6, 10GeV (also through MMS)
 - 11 days at SPS/H4 area, 4-15/9
 - e @ 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, 280 GeV
 - p @ 20,100 GeV
 - π @ 20GeV

Data

- 1700 runs
- 330 different configurations (particle, energy, angle, impact point)
- 94M events processed
- Mass MC simulation in place
- A very dedicated team
 - 60 people worked at CERN
 - all collaboration represented (IT, FR, US, SW, JP)

Photon configuration set-up



The gamma ray beam at the CERN PS T9 line was produced by bremsstrahlung between electrons and the upstream materials. A magnet has been used to well separate electrons from photons. Finally a beam dump has been used to stop electrons.

Tagged photon beam

- An external tracker (4 x-y view silicon strip detector) was used to track electrons upstream and downstream the magnet, read-out by means of an external DAQ
- Trigger on S4&S_{front} & Cherenkovs
- External DAQ was synchronized with the CU one, then the data have been merged with the CU one
- Different electron beam energy in the range 0.5-2.5 GeV and magnetic field intensity have been used to provide a gamma spectrum to the CU below 2 GeV

Not tagged photon beam

- Trigger on S_{front} & Cherenkov
- Full bremsstrahlung spectrum from 2.5GeV/c electronabeamiLAST Symposioum



y-tagger 2nd arm

N.Mazziotta - First GLAST Symposioum

pectral magnet

Display of a candidate photon event



Online monitoring



Photon beam spot

Tower 2 - Tagged Gamma Beam at Normal Incidence

Tower 2 - Tagged Gamma Beam at Normal Incidence



N.Mazziotta - First GLAST Symposioum

- Data points are gamma vertex positions
- Beam dispersion and electron-gamma angle have to be taken into account in analysis and MC
- Beam dispersion from electron data

400

400

- 0.5 GeV: 14 mrad
- 1.0 GeV: 9 mrad
- 1.5 GeV: 7 mrad
- 2.5 GeV: 4 mrad



Background studies configuration

- Charged particle interaction in Micro Meteoroid Shield that produces a gamma like signal in the CU (no ACD signal, good signal in tracker and calorimeter)
 - Protons: gamma by neutral pion decay, produced by exchange charge effect
 - Positrons: gamma by annihilation, a "clean" positron beam is needed
- Preliminary results in the poster session (P19.21)





- Simple set-up
- Beams: e-, π, p 10-300 GeV mostly clean
- Focus on
 - -High energy EM shower
 - -High occupancy in TKR
 - -ACD backsplash



Longitudinal CAL energy shower profile at 0 deg



Conclusions

We have collected a huge amount of data exploring a large set of configurations (particles, energies and angles)
The data analysis and MC validation is still in progress