## Search for GeV Emission from Gamma-Ray Bursts Using Milagro Scaler Data

#### David A. Williams for the Milagro Collaboration

llen, E. Anzenberg, T. Aune, D. Berley, E. Blaufuss, S. Casanova, B. L. Dingus, R. W. Ellsworth, M. M. Gonzalez, J. A. Goodman, E. Hays, C. P. Lansdell, J. T. Linnemann, J. E. McEnery, A. I. Mincer, P. Nemethy, D. Noyes, J. M. Ryan, F. W. Samuelson, P. M. Saz Parkinson, A. Shoup, G. Sinnis, A. J. Smith, G. W. Sullivan, V. Vasileiou, G. P. Walker, D. A. Williams, X. W. Xu and G. B. Yodh

Abstract: Milagro is a wide field (2 sr) high duty cycle (>90%) ground based water Cherenkov detector built to observe extensive air showers produced by high energy particles interacting in the Earth's atmosphere. Milagro records extensive air showers in the energy range 100 GeV to 100 TeV, as well as the counting rates of the individual photomultiplier tubes in the detector. The individual tube counting rates can be used to detect transient emission above  $\sim 1$  GeV. We have used the counting rate (scaler) data to search for high energy emission from a sample of about one Indired gamma-ray bursts (GRB) detected since the beginning of 2000 by BATSE, BeppoSax, HETE-2, INTEGRAL, Swift or the IPN. No evidence for emission from any of the bursts has been found, and we present fluence upper limits from these bursts.

<u>The Scaler Data Acquisition System:</u> The single hit rates of all of the PMTs at two different thresholds (a low threshold or ~0.25 photoelectrons) are recorded once a second by a CAMAC data acquisition system. To reduce the number of scalers needed to record the rates, tubes are combined into groups of 8 or 16, and the logical "or" of hits from the individual tubes in the group is recorded. In this analysis, we use the low threshold hits in the PMTs in the Milagro top layer. Those PMTs are in groups of 8 such that nearest neighbors are in different groups.

| Figure 1 Assignment of PMTs in a  | 14<br> | 18 |
|---|--------|----|
| pond to or'ed groups of 8. Tubes  | 17     | 17 |
| labeled A and D are in one group;<br>tubes labeled B and C are in<br>another group. | ۰.     | ۴. |
|   | ۰.     | 1  |

### Exclusion of Noisy Channels:

The RMS of the rate for each PMT group is calculated over the 11 day interval around each burst. Noisy channels with an RMS which degrades the signal to noise of the sum are excluded from the analysis for that burst, yielding a "cleaned" rate from the remaining groups.



# Figure 2 Time history of the rate of a noisy channel (left) and a quiet channel (right). Note the entries at 161,000 in the plot on the left. The trends seen in both plots are from atmospheric pressure and temperature variation.



# Figure 3 Histogram of the PMT group RMS values for a particular burst before (left) and after (right) removing the noisy groups.

Correction for Pressure and Temperature: The PMT rates vary as the outside temperature and pressure change the profile of the atmospheric overburden. Linear corrections for temperature and pressure which minimize the overall RMS of the rate (while keeping the average rate unchanged) are calculated for the 11 day interval around each burst.



Figure 4 Atmospheric pressure (upper left) and outside temperature (upper right) at Milagro for MJD 53762. The total rate from the top layer PMTs is shown before (lower left) and after (lower right) correcting the rates for the transmission of the state of the state of the transmission of the state of state of





# Located in New Mexico at 2630m above sea level

•80 m x 60 m light-tight, water-filled pond + outriggers •898 photomultiplier tubes (PMTs) detect Cherenkov light from extensive air showers

•450 top layer PMTs used for shower reconstruction (via PMT •450 top layer PMTs used for background rejection
•273 bottom layer PMTs used for background rejection
•175 water tanks (outriggers) improve angular resolution
•3.4 x 10<sup>4</sup> m physical area, ~2 sr field of view
•1700 Hz trigger rate – due almost entirely to cosmic-ray showers
••0.5<sup>4</sup> angular resolution, > 90% proton rejection
•Near continuous operation since 2000 -- duty factor > 90%

<u>GRB Analysis:</u> The average PMT rate during the GRB is compared to the average rate during a period immediately before and after the burst (see Figure 5). By doing the same for many comparable test intervals over an 11 day interval around the burst, it seen that fluctuations are neither Poisson nor Gaussian. The excess (or deficit) rate during the GRB interval, relative to the background region, is compared to the distribution of excesses from the test intervals to obtain the significance of the excess — by computing the Gaussian sigma which corresponds to the probability that the excess is a background fluctuation — and the 99% confidence level upper limit on the rate — by computing the amount of signal which must be added to the test intervals so that 99% of them have a larger excess than the GRB interval. The resulting distribution of significances of the excess is 3.5 standard deviations, with a 2% probability of occurring in a sample of 98; we don't interpret that as evidence for emission and report upper limits for all the bursts. emission and report upper limits for all the bursts.

# 

Figure 5 Schematic time line of the GRB analysis. The GRB duration Figure 5 Schematic time line of the GRB analysis. Ine with ourstoon (rounded up to an integer number of seconds) is represented in red. A background region consisting of 5 times the burst duration before the burst and 5 times the burst duration after the burst is represented in magenta. The 11 day interval (±5 days on either side of the day of the burst) around the burst is partitioned into test intervals of the same duration as the time and our second in the same duration as the sine is one specified and the same duration as the time the size of the day of the duration as the size of the background intervals. The duration between the back is one specified and the size rate during the GRB with respect to the

Distribution 6 Figure 6 Distribution of significances from the GRB sample. The distribution has a mean very near 0 and an RMS near 1, so the scaler rates during the GRBs in this sample are consistent with fluctuations of the background.

### GRB Fluence Limits:

The effective area of the Milagro scalers for gamma rays is calculated The effective area of the Milagro scalers for gamma rays is calculated using the standard Milagro detector simulation as described in [1], including accounting for any PMTs excluded at the cleaning step. We assume a power law energy spectrum  $dN/dE \sim E^2$ , absorbed by collisions with the extragalactic background light according to the model of [2], as shown in Figure 7. For bursts with measured or tentative redshifts, we report limits using EL absorption for that redshifts: 0.1, 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0. The *preliminary* fluence limits between 5 and 50 GeV for the remaining bursts, were law renear law renear limits between The limits are generally comparable to those obtained by this method for other bursts by the ARGO-YBJ [3] group and to the sensitivity expected using this method at Auger [4].



Figure 7 Left: The effect of EBL absorption [2] on the shape of the spectrum. The solid curves show the spectrum (with arbitrary normalization) and the dashed curves show the absorption factor. Right: The effective area of Milagro for detection of a single scaler count as a function of gamma-ray energy for gamma rays incident within 10° of zenith.

#### References

[1] Atkins, R. et al. 2003, Ap. J. 595, 803; Atkins, R. et al. 2005, Ap. J. 630, 996.
 [2] Primack, J. R. et al. 2005, AIP Conf. Proc. 745, 23.
 [3] Di Sciascio, G. et al. 2006, Proc. Multi-Messenger Approach To High Energy Gamma-Ray Sources, Barcelona 2006; astro-ph/0609317.
 [4] Allard, D. et al. 2005, Proc. 29th ICRC (Pune); astro-ph/0508441.

111

TABLE 1. List of GRB in the field of view of Mil no in 2000-2002



disconnected regions, both in the Milagro field of was in the lower elevation region TABLE 2. List of GRB in the field of view of Milagro in 2003-mid-2000

Upper Limits (5-50 GeV fluence, erg cm<sup>-2</sup>) GRB z=zobs z=0.1 z=0.5 z=1.0 =2.0 4.5e-5 9.2e-5 1.1e-3 2.2e-3 2.2e-4 4.8e-4 7.1e-4 1.6e-3 1.3e-2 2.8e-2 8.8e-5 2.0e-4 1.2e-3 2.6e-3 7.0e-3 1.4e-2 2.3e-5 4.6e-5 5.4e-5 1.1e-4 15 56 1 24 175 030413 1.1e-5 2.4e-4 3.7e-5 1.2e-4 2.0e-3 1.5e-5 2.0e-4 1.7e-3 6.0e-6 1.4e-5 2.2e-4 5.5e-3 1.3e-3 4.6e-3 7.3e-2 5.7e-4 7.5e-3 3.5e-2 1.1e-4 2.6e-4  $\begin{array}{c} 27.1\\ 33.4\\ 43.4\\ 43.6\\ 9\\ 223.6\\ 40.4\\ 43.2\\ 22.6\\ 6\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 6\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 6\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10.0\\ 223.0\\ 10$  $\begin{array}{c} 1.7\\ 0.20\\ 1.01\\ 1.66\\ 1.20\\ 1.02\\$ 040924 041211 041219 31 520 4 17 15 3.24 2.3e-5 1.2e-4 2.7e-4 5.6e-5 2.7e-4 5.8e-4 7.4e-4 1.5e-3 。2520 80 60 1 15 27 25 35 30 52 69 20 1 35 20 80 2 61 20 10 5 59 100 114 3.793 2.0e-4 8.0e-4 1.6e-3 4.0e-3 4.3 0.226? 4.30-1 1.8e-5 5.1e-5 4.7e-5 1.7e-4 2.6e-4 3.1e-4 6.7e-5 2.1e-4 1.9e-4 8.7e-4 1.5e-3 1.2e-3 1.3e-3 1.4e-4 4.4e-4 3.8e-4 1.9e-3 3.3e-3 2.6e-3 2.7e-3 2.612 0.0011 2.346 1.55 8.4e-4 2.5e-5 1.8e-3 3.1e-3 3.9e-4 1.7e-3 3.6e-3 8.8e-3 0.55 1.6e-4 1.5e-4 1.3e-4 9.4e-6 5.2e-5 4.6e-4 2.7e-4 7.8e-4 6.1e-4 6.6e-4 3.6e-5 2.9e-4 2.2e-3 1.5e-3 3.3a-3 1.2e-3 1.5e-3 7.3e-5 6.6e-4 4.6e-3 3.2e-3 6.8e-3 3.0e-3 3.9e-3 1.8e-4 1.9e-3 1.2e-2 8.8e-3 1.7e-2 060210 060218 3.91 0.03 5 2000 30 1 25 1 58 185 300 52 1.3e-1 060218 060306 060312 060313 060403 060427b 060428b 3.5e-3 2.2e-3 2.4e-4 1.4e-4 1.6e-5 5.0e-4 5.6e-2 7.6e-3 5.0e-3 5.0e-4 2.9e-4 3.1e-5 1.0e-3 1.2e-1 1.4e-3 1.4e-3 7.0e-4 7.4e-3 2.5e-3 3.2e-1 4.9 5.0e-4 2.7e-3 6.0e-3 1.7e-2