Observations of the isotropic diffuse gamma-ray emission with the Fermi Large Area Telescope

Markus Ackermann

SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory on behalf of the Fermi LAT collaboration

Fermi Symposium, Nov. 2009, Washington DC







Main contributions to the Fermi gamma-ray sky



The isotropic diffuse gamma-ray emission



 Isotropic diffuse flux contribution from unresolved sources depends on LAT point source sensitivity

→ Contribution expected to decrease with LAT observation time

Potential contributions to the isotropic diffuse continuum gamma-ray emission in the LAT energy range (100 MeV-300 GeV):

unresolved point sources

- Active galactic nuclei (see talk by M. Ajello)
- Star-forming galaxies
- Gamma-ray bursts

□ diffuse emission processes

- UHE cosmic-ray interactions with the Extragalactic Background Light
- Structure formation
- large Galactic electron halo
- WIMP annihilation

Cosmic-ray background

- Primary cosmic-rays
 + secondary CR
 produced in earth
 atmosphere
- Charged and neutral cosmic-rays outnumber celestial gamma-rays by many orders of magnitude
- CR contamination strongly suppressed by Anti-coincidence detector (ACD) veto and multivariate analysis of event properties



Residual CR produce unstructured, quasi-isotropic background (after sufficient observation time)

Fermi Symposium, 11/02/09-11/05/09

Data selection for the analysis of the isotropic flux



	LAT star	ndard even	t classes:	
Eve	ent class	Backgroun	d contamina	tion

transient	<~ 100 x EGRET	EGB flux
source	<~ 20 x EGRET	EGB flux
diffuse	<~ 1 x FGRFT	FGB flux

- 3 event classes defined in standard LAT event selection
- LAT isotropic flux expected to be below EGRET level (factor »10 improvement in point source sensitivity)

LAT on-orbit background higher than predicted from pre-launch model

More stringent background rejection developed for this analysis

□ Event parameters used:

- Shower shape in Calorimeter
- Charge deposit in Silicon tracker
- Gamma-ray probability from classification
 analysis
- Distance of particle track from LAT corners

Fermi Symposium, 11/02/09-11/05/09

Performance of the dedicated event selection

Improved residual background suppression compared to diffuse class

Improved agreement between simulation and data from rejection of hadronic shower and heavy ions Uncertainty: +50%/-30%

Retained effective area for γ-rays



Analysis technique



□ **Pixel-by-pixel max. likelihood fit** of |b|>10° sky

- equal-area pixels with ~ 0.8 deg² (HEALPIX grid)
- sky-model compared to LAT data
- point source /diffuse intensities fitted simultaneously
- 9 independent energy bins, 200 MeV 100 GeV
- 10 month of LAT data, 19 Ms observation time

□ Sky model:

- Maps of Galactic foreground γ-rays considering individually contributions from IC and local HI
- Individual spectra of TS>200 (~>14σ) point sources from LAT catalog
- Map of weak sources from LAT catalog
- Solar IC and Disk emission
- Spectrum of isotropic component

 Subtraction of residual background (derived from Monte Carlo simulation) from isotropic component

Model of the Galactic foreground

γ-ray emission model

γ-ray emission model

HI (7.5 kpc < r < 9.5 kpc)

Inverse Compton scattering

- Diffuse gamma-ray emission of Galaxy modeled using GALPROP
- Spectra of dominant high-latitude components fit to LAT data:
 - Inverse Compton emission (isotropic ISRF approximation)
 - Bremsstrahlung and π^0 -decay from CR interactions with local (7.5kpc < r < 9.5kpc) atomic hydrogen (HI)
- HI column density estimated from 21-cm observations and E(B-V) magnitudes of reddening
- □ 4 kpc electron halo size for Inverse Compton component (2kpc 10kpc tested)

The LAT isotropic diffuse flux (200 MeV – 100 GeV)



error bars / bands: statistical error + LAT effective area uncertainty + residual background contamination uncertainty

- Spectrum can be fitted by power law:
 γ = 2.41 +/- 0.05
- Flux above 100 MeV: $F_{100} = 1.03 + - 0.17$ $\times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ (extrapolated)
- Foreground modeling uncertainty not included in error bands

Systematic uncertainties from foreground modeling

- \Box RMS of residual map (averaged over 13.4 deg² bins) is 8.2%,
 - 3.3 % expected from statistics
- Residuals show some correlation to structures seen in the galactic foreground emission
 - \rightarrow Foreground model is not perfect.
- □ Impact of foreground model variations on derived EGB intensity studied:

Flux in band	200 MeV – 400 MeV	1.6 GeV - 3.2 GeV	51 GeV – 102 GeV
Extragalactic	2.4 +/- 0.6	12.7 +/- 2.1	11.1 +/- 2.9
HI column density	+0.1 / -0.3	+0.1 / -3.6	+0.1 / -1.1
Halo size + IC	+0.1 / -0.3	+0.1 / -1.8	+2.9 / -0.5
CR propagation model	+0.1 / -0.3	+0.1 / -0.8	+3.0 / -0.1
Subregions of b >10	+0.2 / -0.3	+1.9 / -2.1	+2.7 / -0.9
	x 10 ⁻⁶ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ sr ⁻¹	x 10 ⁻⁸ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ sr ⁻¹	x 10 ⁻¹⁰ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ sr ⁻¹

Table items are NOT independent and cannot be added to provide overall modeling uncertainty

Comparison with EGRET results



 Considerably steeper than the EGRET spectrum by Sreekumar et al.

 No spectral features around a few GeV seen in re-analysis by Strong et al.

Fermi Symposium, 11/02/09-11/05/09	Markus Ackermann for the LAT collaboration		11
	x 10 ⁻⁵ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ sr ⁻¹		
LAT + resolved sources below EGRET sensitivity	1.19 +/- 0.18	2.37 +/- 0.05	
EGRET (Strong et al. 2004)	1.11 +/- 0.10		
EGRET (Sreekumar et al., 1998)	1.45 +/- 0.05	2.13 +/- 0.03	
LAT (this analysis)	1.03 +/- 0.17	2.41 +/- 0.05	
	Flux, E>100 MeV	spectral index	
	571 1		

Summary

- A new low-background data selection was developed to obtain a measurement of the EGB. This data selection will be made public with the next update of the Fermi event classification.
- □ The EGB found by the LAT is compatible with a simple power law of index 2.41+/-0.05 between 200 MeV and 100 GeV.
- □ It is softer than the EGRET spectrum and does not show distinctive peaks (compared at EGRET sensitivity level).
- \Box ~ 15% of the EGRET EGB is resolved into sources by the LAT.
- □ From Blazar population study: ~20%-30% of LAT EGB is due to unresolved Blazars (see M. Ajello's talk).
- Ongoing work to extend the energy range and reduce systematic uncertainties of this measurement.



Cosmic Ray background in data and simulation

- □ Sample A: events classified as γ -rays by on-board filters, |b|>45 deg
- Sample B: events accepted in medium purity ("source"), but rejected in high purity ("diffuse") standard event class, |b|>45 deg

Both samples are strongly dominated by CR background ! Sample A \rightarrow bulk of the CR background Sample B \rightarrow extreme tails of CR distribution which mimic γ -rays



Data selection for the analysis of the isotropic diffuse background



Example for improved background rejection: Transverse shower size in Calorimeter

- clean dataset (observations with high γ-ray flux, low CR flux)
- contaminated dataset (observations with low γ-ray flux, high CR flux)
- predicted distribution from LAT simulation

The Fermi Large Area Telescope

- □ Energy range: 100 MeV 300 GeV
- Peak effective area: > 8000 cm² (standard event selection)
- □ Field of view: 2.4 sr
- Point source sensitivity (>100 MeV): 3x10⁻⁹ cm⁻² s⁻¹
- □ No consumables onboard LAT → Steady response over time expected



 Standard operation in 'sky survey' mode allows almost flat exposure of the sky

