Supernova Remnants

and GLAST

Patrick Slane (CfA)

SNRs: The (very) Basic Structure



• Pulsar Wind

- sweeps up ejecta; shock decelerates flow, accelerates particles; PWN forms
- Supernova Remnant
 - sweeps up ISM; reverse shock heats
 ejecta; ultimately compresses PWN; particles accelerated at forward shock generate
 Alfven waves; other particles scatter from waves and receive additional acceleration

Patrick Slane (CfA)



SNRs: The (very) Basic Structure



• Pulsar Wind

 sweeps up ejecta; shock decelerates flow, accelerates particles; PWN forms

• Supernova Remnant

sweeps up ISM; reverse shock heats
 ejecta; ultimately compresses PWN; particles accelerated at forward shock generate
 Alfven waves; other particles scatter from waves and receive additional acceleration

Patrick Slane (CfA)



Shocks in SNRs



- Expanding blast wave moves supersonically through CSM/ISM; creates shock
 - mass, momentum, and energy conservation across shock give (with $\gamma=5/3$)



shock

$$\rho_{1} = \frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1} \rho_{0} = 4\rho_{0} \quad v_{1} = \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1} v_{0} = \frac{v_{0}}{4} \quad T_{1} = \frac{2(\gamma - 1)}{(\gamma + 1)^{2}} \frac{\mu}{k} m_{H} v_{0}^{2} = 1.3 \times 10^{7} v_{1000}^{2} \text{ K}$$

Shock velocity gives temperature of gas
 - can get from X-rays (modulo NEI effects)

V_{ps}

- If cosmic-ray pressure is present <u>the</u> <u>temperature will be lower than this</u>
 - radius of forward shock affected as well

Ellison et al. 200 1010 $n_{\rm H} = 0.1 \ {\rm cm}^{-3}$ $E_{rn} = 1.4 \ 10^{51} \ erg$ $B_0 = 15 \mu G$ t_{sNR}=500 yr 10⁹ T_{plasma} [K] RS 108 FS £=.63 **0=3** 3.5 4 4.5 5 Radius [pc]

1st GLAST Symposium (Stanford, 2/6/07)

Shocks in SNRs

- Expanding blast wave moves supersonically through CSM/ISM; creates shock
 - mass, momentum, and energy conservation across shock give (with $\gamma=5/3$)

$$\rho_{1} = \frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1} \rho_{0} = 4\rho_{0} | \mathbf{v}_{1} = \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1} \mathbf{v}_{0} = \frac{\mathbf{v}_{0}}{4}$$
$$\mathbf{v}_{ps} = \frac{3\mathbf{v}_{s}}{4}$$

- Shock velocity gives temperature of gas
 can get from X-rays (modulo NEI effects)
- If cosmic-ray pressure is present <u>the</u> <u>temperature will be lower than this</u>
 - radius of forward shock affected as well

Patrick Slane (CfA)



ρ



γ-ray Emission from SNRs



- Neutral pion decay
 - ions accelerated by shock collide w/ ambient protons, producing pions in process: $\pi^{o} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
 - flux proportional to ambient density; SNR-cloud interactions particularly likely sites
- Inverse-Compton emission
 - energetic electrons upscatter ambient photons to $\gamma\text{-ray}$ energies
 - CMB, plus local emission from dust and starlight, provide seed photons



• High B-field can flatten IC spectrum; low B-field can reduce E_{max} for π° spectrum - difficult to differentiate cases; GLAST observations crucial to combine with other λ 's and dynamics

1st GLAST Symposium (Stanford, 2/6/07)

Broadband Emission from SNRs



- shock acceleration of electrons (and protons) to > 10¹³ eV
- E_{max} set by age or energy losses – observed as spectral turnover

- inverse-Compton scattering probes same electron population; need selfconsistent model w/ synchrotron
- pion production depends on density
 - GLAST/TeV observations required

1st GLAST Symposium (Stanford, 2/6/07)





- X-ray observations reveal a nonthermal spectrum everywhere in G347.3-0.5
 - evidence for cosmic-ray acceleration
 - based on X-ray synchrotron emission, infer electron energies of ~50 TeV



- This SNR is detected directly in TeV gamma-rays, by HESS
 - γ-ray morphology very similar to x-rays; suggests I-C emission
 - spectrum seems to suggest π^o-decay WHAT IS EMISSION MECHANISM?

1st GLAST Symposium (Stanford, 2/6/07)

Modeling the Emission

- Joint analysis of radio, X-ray, and γ -ray data allow us to investigate the broad band spectrum
 - data can be accommodated along with EGRET upper limits, with no contributions from pion decay
 - <u>large magnetic field</u> is required, with relatively small filling factor
- However... HESS spectrum is completely inconsistent with that reported by CANGAROO
 - broader spectrum suggests pion origin, but implied densities appear in conflict with thermal X-ray upper limits
- <u>BUT</u>... strong magnetic field can flatten inverse-Compton spectrum
 - ORIGIN NOT YET CLEAR; <u>NEED GLAST</u>



1st GLAST Symposium (Stanford, 2/6/07)

Modeling the Emission

- Joint analysis of radio, X-ray, and γ -ray data allow us to investigate the broad band spectrum
 - data can be accommodated along with EGRET upper limits, with no contributions from pion decay
 - <u>large magnetic field</u> is required, with relatively small filling factor
- However... HESS spectrum is completely inconsistent with that reported by CANGAROO
 - broader spectrum suggests pion origin, but implied densities appear in conflict with thermal X-ray upper limits
- <u>BUT</u>... strong magnetic field can flatten inverse-Compton spectrum

Patrick Slane (CfA)

- ORIGIN NOT YET CLEAR; NEED GLAST



Aside: Evidence for CR Ion Acceleration



• Efficient particle acceleration in SNRs affects dynamics of shock

- for given age, FS is closer to CD and RS with efficient CR production
- This is observed in Tycho's SNR
 - "direct" evidence of CR ion acceleration

Patrick Slane (CfA)

Aside: Evidence for CR Ion Acceleration





 Warren et al. 2005
 Efficient particle acceleration in SNRs affects dynamics of shock

- for given age, FS is closer to CD and RS with efficient CR production
- This is observed in Tycho's SNR
 - "direct" evidence of CR ion acceleration

Patrick Slane (CfA)

Aside: Evidence for CR Ion Acceleration



EGRET Results on SNRs/PWNe



Patrick Slane (CfA)

- SNRs are natural candidates for the production of γ-rays
 - pulsars in SNRs are young and probably active; pulsars form a known class of γ-ray sources
 - shock acceleration of particles yields γ-rays through a variety of processes
 - interactions with molecular clouds enhance emission
- Establishing a direct association between SNRs and γ-ray sources is tricky
 - SNRs are large, as are EGRET error circles
 - SNRs distributed like other potential γ-ray populations

Need GLAST resolution + multi- λ

EGRET Results on SNRs/PWNe



- SNRs are natural candidates for the production of γ-rays
 - pulsars in SNRs are young and probably active; pulsars form a known class of γ-ray sources
 - shock acceleration of particles yields γ-rays through a variety of processes
 - interactions with molecular clouds enhance emission
- Establishing a direct association between SNRs and γ-ray sources is tricky
 - SNRs are large, as are EGRET error circles
 - SNRs distributed like other potential γ-ray populations

Need GLAST resolution + multi- λ

EGRET Results on SNRs/PWNe



Patrick Slane (CfA)

- SNRs are natural candidates for the production of γ-rays
 - pulsars in SNRs are young and probably active; pulsars form a known class of γ-ray sources
 - shock acceleration of particles yields γ-rays through a variety of processes
 - interactions with molecular clouds enhance emission
- Establishing a direct association between SNRs and γ-ray sources is tricky
 - SNRs are large, as are EGRET error circles
 - SNRs distributed like other potential γ-ray populations

Need GLAST resolution + multi- λ

GLAST Sensitivity for SNRs



1 yr sensitivity for high latitude point source

• The expected $\pi \xrightarrow{o} \gamma \gamma$ flux for an SNR is

 $F(> 100 MeV) \approx 4.4 \times 10^{-7} \theta E_{51} d_{kpc}^{-2} n \text{ phot } \text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

where θ is a slow function of age (Drury et al. 1994)

- this leads to fluxes near sensitivity limit of EGRET, but only for large n
- Efficient acceleration can result in higher values for I–C γ –rays
 - SNRs should be detectable w/ GLAST for sufficiently high density; favor SNRs in dense environments or highly efficient acceleration
 - expect good sensitivity to SNR-cloud interaction sites

1st GLAST Symposium (Stanford, 2/6/07)

Contributions from PWNe

- Unshocked wind from pulsar expected to have $\gamma = 10^6$
 - X-ray synchrotron emission requires γ > 10⁹
 - acceleration at wind termination shock
- GLAST will provide sensitivity to measure γ_{max}





• X-ray/radio observations of EGRET sources have revealed a handful of PWNe (e.g. Roberts et al. 2006)

 γ-ray emission appears to show variability on timescales of months; constraints on synchrotron age (and thus B)?

GLAST survey mode ideal for investigating this

1st GLAST Symposium (Stanford, 2/6/07)

G119.5+10.2 (CTA1)



1st GLAST Symposium (Stanford, 2/6/07)



Slane et al. 1997

1st GLAST Symposium (Stanford, 2/6/07)

Patrick Slane (CfA)

Declination (J2000)



Patrick Slane (CfA)





- EGRET source initially identified with MSH 11-62 (composite SNR)
- Error circle contains young pulsar (J1105-6107) and SNR MSH 11-61A (which appears to be interacting with a molecular cloud). Which source is it? GLAST resolution will provide answer

1st GLAST Symposium (Stanford, 2/6/07)

Summary

- SNRs are efficient accelerators of cosmic ray electrons and ions
 - expect production of γ -rays from $\pi \xrightarrow{o} \gamma \gamma$ and I-C processes
 - GLAST sensitivity can detect SNRs in dense environments and those for which particle acceleration is highly efficient
 - spectra can provide crucial input for differentiating between emission mechanisms
- SNRs are in confused regions
 - GLAST resolution will provide huge improvement in identifications
 - may find many new PWNe
- GLAST survey mode provides exceptional capabilities for detecting faint SNRs and for studying variability in PWNe