

Fermi LAT Discovery of Gamma-ray Pulsars in Blind Searches

Pablo Saz Parkinson Santa Cruz Institute For Particle Physics pablo@scipp.ucsc.edu

on behalf of the Fermi LAT collaboration

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009

1. Introduction

2. Blind Frequency Searches with Fermi-LAT

- 2.1 Sources we search
- 2.2 The time-differencing method
- 2.3 Refining the candidates

3. The new 8 gamma-selected pulsars

- 3.1 Pulse profiles
- 3.2 Distribution in the previously known pulsar population

4. Multiwavelength Observations

- 4.1 X-ray: Swift, Chandra, XMM, Suzaku
- 4.2 TeV: HESS, Milagro

5. Conclusions

1. Introduction

2. Blind Frequency Searches with Fermi-LAT

- 2.1 Sources we search
- 2.2 The time-differencing method
- 2.3 Refining the candidates

3. The new 8 gamma-selected pulsars

- 3.1 Pulse profiles
- 3.2 Distribution in the previously known pulsar population

4. Multiwavelength Observations

- 4.1 X-ray: Swift, Chandra, XMM, Suzaku
- 4.2 TeV: HESS, Milagro

5. Conclusions

1. Introduction

2. Blind Frequency Searches with Fermi-LAT

- 2.1 Sources we search
- 2.2 The time-differencing method
- 2.3 Refining the candidates

3. The new 8 gamma-selected pulsars

- 3.1 Pulse profiles
- 3.2 Distribution in the previously known pulsar population
- 4. Multiwavelength Observations
 - 4.1 X-ray: Swift, Chandra, XMM, Suzaku
 - 4.2 TeV: HESS, Milagro
- 5. Conclusions

1. Introduction

2. Blind Frequency Searches with Fermi-LAT

- 2.1 Sources we search
- 2.2 The time-differencing method
- 2.3 Refining the candidates

3. The new 8 gamma-selected pulsars

- 3.1 Pulse profiles
- 3.2 Distribution in the previously known pulsar population

4. Multiwavelength Observations

- 4.1 X-ray: Swift, Chandra, XMM, Suzaku
- 4.2 TeV: HESS, Milagro

5. Conclusions

1. Introduction

2. Blind Frequency Searches with Fermi-LAT

- 2.1 Sources we search
- 2.2 The time-differencing method
- 2.3 Refining the candidates

3. The new 8 gamma-selected pulsars

- 3.1 Pulse profiles
- 3.2 Distribution in the previously known pulsar population

4. Multiwavelength Observations

- 4.1 X-ray: Swift, Chandra, XMM, Suzaku
- 4.2 TeV: HESS, Milagro

5. Conclusions

INTRODUCTION



Figure: 14 August 2009 Science issue.

- Only 7 gamma-ray (6 EGRET) pulsars known prior to the launch of Fermi
- Currently > 50 gamma-ray pulsars detected, including known young radio pulsars, old MSPs, and blind search pulsars
- No pulsars discovered in gamma rays prior to Fermi (only Geminga known to be "radio quiet")
- 16 pulsars discovered by Fermi LAT in first 5 months, mostly associated with unidentified EGRET sources (Abdo et al., Science 325, 840, 2009)
- 8 new pulsars discovered using first year of data, mostly associated with unidentified LAT sources (Abdo et al., ApJ, *in preparation*)
- 21 of the 24 LAT-discovered pulsars remain undetected in radio despite deep searches

Sources we search



Figure: Chandra image centered on the EGRET source 3EG J2020+4017, including 35 X-ray sources (Weisskopf et al. ApJ **652**, 387 (2006)).

- Potential counterparts of interesting candidates
 - 3EG J1835+5918 ("Next" Geminga) [Halpern et al. ApJ 668, 1154 (2007)]
 - 3EG J2020+4017 (Gamma-Cygni) [Weisskopf et al. ApJ 652, 387 (2006)]

LAT source locations

- ► ~650 sources
- Mostly unidentified/unassociated sources
- Exclude "most" extra-galactic sources

The time-differencing method



- Sparse gamma-ray data make standard FFT techniques expensive
- A periodic signal should show up in the (FFT of) differences of photon times
- Differences computed only up to a maximum T_w (days or weeks)
- Interesting candidates are investigated using standard techniques
- ► See Atwood et al., ApJL 652, L49 (2006)

► For application to EGRET, see Ziegler et al., ApJ 680, 620 (2008)



- ► LAT source locations are often ~ arc minutes away from the true pulsar location (e.g. PSR J1413-6205 was 2.3 arc minutes away)
- When the position of the pulsar is off by even just 1 arc minute, it is hard to detect in >1 year of data
- ▶ Promising candidates followed up by scanning in position for maximum χ^2 (PSR J1413–6205 χ^2 3.7–>9.7)
- Once a pulsar is detected, position can be determined with arc second accuracy
- ► Timing solution is refined in f f space using PRESTO
- Final timing solution is obtained using Tempo2



- ► LAT source locations are often ~ arc minutes away from the true pulsar location (e.g. PSR J1413–6205 was 2.3 arc minutes away)
- When the position of the pulsar is off by even just 1 arc minute, it is hard to detect in >1 year of data
- ▶ Promising candidates followed up by scanning in position for maximum χ^2 (PSR J1413–6205 χ^2 3.7–>9.7)
- Once a pulsar is detected, position can be determined with arc second accuracy
- ► Timing solution is refined in f f space using PRESTO
- Final timing solution is obtained using Tempo2



- ► LAT source locations are often ~ arc minutes away from the true pulsar location (e.g. PSR J1413-6205 was 2.3 arc minutes away)
- When the position of the pulsar is off by even just 1 arc minute, it is hard to detect in >1 year of data
- ▶ Promising candidates followed up by scanning in position for maximum χ^2 (PSR J1413–6205 χ^2 3.7–>9.7)
- Once a pulsar is detected, position can be determined with arc second accuracy
- ► Timing solution is refined in f f space using PRESTO
- Final timing solution is obtained using Tempo2



- ► LAT source locations are often ~ arc minutes away from the true pulsar location (e.g. PSR J1413-6205 was 2.3 arc minutes away)
- When the position of the pulsar is off by even just 1 arc minute, it is hard to detect in >1 year of data
- ▶ Promising candidates followed up by scanning in position for maximum χ^2 (PSR J1413–6205 χ^2 3.7–>9.7)
- Once a pulsar is detected, position can be determined with arc second accuracy
- ► Timing solution is refined in f f space using PRESTO
- Final timing solution is obtained using Tempo2



- ► LAT source locations are often ~ arc minutes away from the true pulsar location (e.g. PSR J1413–6205 was 2.3 arc minutes away)
- When the position of the pulsar is off by even just 1 arc minute, it is hard to detect in >1 year of data
- ▶ Promising candidates followed up by scanning in position for maximum χ^2 (PSR J1413–6205 χ^2 3.7–>9.7)
- Once a pulsar is detected, position can be determined with arc second accuracy
- ► Timing solution is refined in f f space using PRESTO
- Final timing solution is obtained using Tempo2



- ► LAT source locations are often ~ arc minutes away from the true pulsar location (e.g. PSR J1413-6205 was 2.3 arc minutes away)
- When the position of the pulsar is off by even just 1 arc minute, it is hard to detect in >1 year of data
- Promising candidates followed up by scanning in position for maximum χ² (PSR J1413–6205 χ² 3.7–>9.7)
- Once a pulsar is detected, position can be determined with arc second accuracy
- ► Timing solution is refined in f f space using PRESTO
- Final timing solution is obtained using Tempo2



- We choose cuts in radius, event class, and energy, to maximize the significance
- Significance is measured using the χ² statistic or the Fourier power
- Optimum cuts depend on location of the pulsar and energy spectrum



- We choose cuts in radius, event class, and energy, to maximize the significance
- Significance is measured using the χ² statistic or the Fourier power
- Optimum cuts depend on location of the pulsar and energy spectrum



- We choose cuts in radius, event class, and energy, to maximize the significance
- Significance is measured using the χ² statistic or the Fourier power
- Optimum cuts depend on location of the pulsar and energy spectrum



- We choose cuts in radius, event class, and energy, to maximize the significance
- Significance is measured using the χ² statistic or the Fourier power
- Optimum cuts depend on location of the pulsar and energy spectrum



- We choose cuts in radius, event class, and energy, to maximize the significance
- Significance is measured using the χ² statistic or the Fourier power
- Optimum cuts depend on location of the pulsar and energy spectrum



- We choose cuts in radius, event class, and energy, to maximize the significance
- Significance is measured using the χ² statistic or the Fourier power
- Optimum cuts depend on location of the pulsar and energy spectrum



- We choose cuts in radius, event class, and energy, to maximize the significance
- Significance is measured using the χ² statistic or the Fourier power
- Optimum cuts depend on location of the pulsar and energy spectrum



- We choose cuts in radius, event class, and energy, to maximize the significance
- Significance is measured using the χ² statistic or the Fourier power
- Optimum cuts depend on location of the pulsar and energy spectrum



- We choose cuts in radius, event class, and energy, to maximize the significance
- Significance is measured using the χ² statistic or the Fourier power
- Optimum cuts depend on location of the pulsar and energy spectrum

BLIND FREQUENCY SEARCHES WITH FERMI-LAT Refining the candidates: II. Cuts in Radius, Event Class, Energy



- We choose cuts in radius, event class, and energy, to maximize the significance
- Significance is measured using the χ² statistic or the Fourier power
- Optimum cuts depend on location of the pulsar and energy spectrum

The New 8 Gamma-selected pulsars

Pulse Profiles



- ► All light curves shifted to have peak 1 at φ = 0.25
- Important features
 - Peak multiplicity
 - Peak Separation (calculated by fitting a constant plus two gaussians)
 - Off-pulse region
 (e.g. φ ∈ [0, 0.21] ∪ [0.65, 1])
- 5 energy bands:
 - ► > 0.1 GeV
 - ▶ 0.1–0.3 GeV
 - ► 0.3–1 GeV
 - ► > 1 GeV
- ► > 5 GeV 0 C



MULTI-WAVELENGTH OBSERVATIONS X-RAY: Swift, Chandra, XMM





- Short Swift observations of unidentified LAT sources help us make our searches more sensitive
- Short Swift observations of newly-discovered LAT pulsars often allow us to identify counterparts
- Subsequent observations by XMM, Chandra, or Suzaku allow for a deeper understanding of the source, including constraining the distance, studying the morphology, and even searching for pulsations.
- Figure: **Top** *Swift* ~ 5 ksec observation of PSR J0633+0632. **Bottom** *Chandra* X-ray spectrum of CXOU J102302.8-574607.

MULTI-WAVELENGTH OBSERVATIONS TEV: HESS, MILAGRO



Figure: **Top** – Milagro map of the Cygnus region. **Bottom** – Milagro map of significances around PSRs J1954+2836 and J1958+2846.

- Many young pulsars have TeV PWN
- HESS J1023-575 (Westerlund 2) coincident with PSR J1022-5746 [Aharonian et al., A&A 467, 1075 (2007)]
- MGRO J1908+06 and MGRO J2031+41 coincident with PSR J1907+0602 and PSR J2032+4127 [Abdo et al., ApJL 664, L91 (2007)]
- ▶ Milagro marginal detection (> 4*σ*) of PSR J2021+4026, PSR J1954+2836, and PSR J1958+2846 [Abdo et al., ApJL **700**, L127 (2009)]
- Talk by M. Dormody (HESS source)
- Talk by A. Abdo (PSR J1907)
- ► Talk by A. Smith (Milagro sources)

CONCLUSIONS

- The first year of pulsar hunting with the LAT has resulted in the discovery of 24 previously unknown pulsars
- ► A majority (21, for now) of these gamma-selected pulsars remain undetected in radio
- Discovering new pulsars is increasingly challenging and will require improvements in our techniques
- Multiwavelength observations (e.g. Swift) can be extremely helpful in making our searches "less blind"
- The fun has just begun ...



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009

13 / 13



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



13 / 13



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009





Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009



Pablo Saz Parkinson (SCIPP)

Fermi Symposium Washington, DC 4 November 2009