The Fermi GBM detection of pulsed emission from four AXPs



Lucien Kuiper¹, Felix ter Beek² & Wim Hermsen^{1,2}



²Astronomical Institute "Anton Pannekoek", University of Amsterdam

Netherlands Institute for Space Research

Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research

Outline of presentation

Context: 2004+ discovery of high-energy emission from AXPs/SGRs (persistent) total and pulsed

Sources: AXPs with established HE-emission >

> 1E1841-045 1RXS J1708-4009 4U0142+614 1E1547.0-5408 (Transient HE-emission)

Instruments & Data selection/analysis

1)	RXTE HEXTE (15-250 keV)	update	pulsed
2)	INTEGRAL ISGRI (15-300 keV)	update	total/
3)	Fermi GBM (8 - 2000 keV; NaI detectors)	new	pulsed pulsed

Results



Summary & conclusions



Context

AXPs & SGRs are believed to be magnetars i.e. neutron stars powered by the decay of a huge internal field (Thompson & Duncan)

Support for this:

- 1) P dP/dt diagram
- 2) No XRB: absence of Doppler modulation in timing data
- 3) No RPP, because $L_x >> L_{sd}$
- 4) (frequently) glitching; pulsed flux variations





Before 2004: Ignorance of hard X-ray regime because of very soft spectrum < 10 keV (AXPs)

After 2004: **INTEGRAL** discovery of point-sources (> 20 keV) positionally consistent with AXPs

Kuiper et al. (2004,2006) significant fraction is pulsed up to ~150 keV. Total/pulsed spectra are very hard (Γ ~ 1) breaking above ~100 keV and luminous

Nowadays: 5 systems (3 AXPs / 2 SGRs) with persistent HE emission and 2 (1 AXP/1 SGR) with transient HE emission

Challenges: production sites/mechanisms



Best developed model within magnetar framework is from A. Beloborodov (2010) Untwisting of external B-field \rightarrow "J-bundle" quasi-stable configuration of charge carrying particles above polar cap region up to ~10 R_s

RXTE HEXTE and INTEGRAL ISGRI updates for 1E1841-045 and 4U0142+614



Fermi GBM data selection and analysis

- Timing Analysis for those AXPs with established HE-emission and with valid contemporaneous phase-coherent timing solutions (RXTE monitoring): 1E1841-045, 4U 0141+614, 1RXS J1708-4009 and 1E1547.0-5408
- Only CTIME data (256 ms; 8 energy channels, 8 -2000 keV) from 12 NaI detectors are used -> source angle selections
- Extend the pre-defined SAA window by ± 300 s
- Remove short-duration events like bursts/flares
- Source angle $\alpha \le 45^{\circ}$ and Earth zenith angle $\zeta \le 180-70-\alpha = 65^{\circ}$ optimum angles as derived from GBM timing analysis of X-ray binary Her-X1 (P ~ 1.0 s)



Phase folding of selected barycentered count-rate data on proper timing model (Aug. 2008 – Dec. 2010; 2.3 y)

Source	Start	End	Screened exposure
	[MJD]	[MJD]	(Ms)
4U 0142+614	54690	55496	41.686
1RXS J1708-4009	54690	55516	40.505
1E 1841-045	54690	55524	51.106
1E 1547.0-5408	54855	54890	1.5673



Fermi GBM results on AXPs



GBM pulse-profiles: Sum of channels 2-4 ~ 27-300 keV



From ① the extracted pulsed count rates per energy channel and ② the angular averaged response information ↓ the photon spectrum can be recon-

the photon spectrum can be reconstructed adopting certain model shape

Power-law:

 $F(E) = F_0 \cdot (E/E_0)^{\alpha}$

Power-law with super-exponential cutoff

 $F(E) = F_0 \cdot (E/E_0)^{\alpha} \cdot \exp(-(E/E_c)^2)$

(resembling the spectrum of model calculations by A. Beloborodov within his activated magnetar magnetosphere model – "J-bundle")



Simulated instrument response for NaI detectors 0 and 8 (Kippen et al. 2007)

7



Transient "magnetar" 1E1547.0-5408



Kuiper, Hermsen, den Hartog and Urama et al. 2011 (submitted)

SRON



Break required at $\frac{207+64}{-53}$ keV

Summary & Conclusions

Updated timing data for RXTE HEXTE and INTEGRAL ISGRI combined with (newly derived) Fermi GBM timing information yielded a significantly improved picture of the pulsed AXP spectrum at hard X-rays/soft γ-rays:

 $E_{c} = \frac{231 + 64}{52}$ keV

- 1) 4U0142+614
- 2) 1E1841-045 $E_c = \frac{125+26}{-20}$ keV
- 3) 1E1547.0-5408 $E_c = \frac{207+64}{-53}$ keV
- 4) 1RXS J1708-4009 $E_c > 429 \text{ keV}$



Pulsed fraction near 100 keV high, but not 100%!

➢ In future, instruments are required that are 10-50 x more sensitive than current generation detectors to map in detail the spectral shape in the break region → underlying emission process?

