

Multi-Component Spectral Analysis of Fermi GRBs :

a Step Forward in the Understanding of the Prompt Emission

Sylvain Guiriec

NASA Postdoctoral Program Fellow NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

on behalf of the Fermi GBM and LAT collaborations



Space Telescope

4th Fermi Symposium, Monterey,CA, USA – October 29th, 2012

The Fireball Model



The Fireball Model



The Fireball Model



The Empirical Band Function



- Band usually considered synchrotron emission from e⁻ propagating and accelerated in the jet.
- However α often not compatible with synchrotron scenarios.

Additional Components to the Band Function

Band+PL

GRB 090510



(Ackerman et al. 2010)

Additional Components to the Band Function

Band+PL

GRB 090510



(Ackerman et al. 2010)

Band+BB

GRB 100724B



4/15

Additional Components to the Band Function

Band+PL



(Guiriec et al. 2010)



(Guiriec et al. 2012: on astro-ph tomorrow !) (Ryde et al. 2009

Spectral Shapes



Multiple Spectral Components



Multiple Spectral Components



Guiriec

<mark>e</mark>

<mark>0</mark>

5

preparation

A New View of Fermi GRBs : Multi-Component Spectra



A New View of Fermi GRBs : Multi-Component Spectra











Conclusion

- Prompt emission spectra of GRBs are much more complex that the Band function usually used in the BATSE Era.
- With Fermi, we start to fit physical models to the spectra while only empirical models were used previously.
 - For the first time, we clearly identified a thermal component in addition to the non-thermal Band function.
 - Using the BB component, the Band function parameters are usually more compatible with synchrotron models.
 - Interpretation of the additional PL remains challenging for the physical models (need SVOM and CTA ?).



Synchrotron + BB + PL

Impact of the Photospheric Emission on the Flux-E_{peak} and Luminosity-E_{peak} Relations

(Guiriec et al.: Submitted to ApJ and on astro-ph tomorrow !)

Sylvain Guiriec – 4th Fermi Symposium, Monterey,CA, USA, 2012

The F_{Band}-E_{peak} Relation



• When fit with Band alone, no correlation between F_{Band} and E_{peak}

The F_{Band}-E_{peak} Relation



When fit with Band alone, no correlation between F_{Band} and E_{peak}
 When fit with Band+BB, strong correlation between F_{Band} and E_{peak}

• Reinforce the B+BB scenario

• Why this correlation ? The emission mechanism producing the Band function must follow such a correlation.

- Tool to discriminate between models (synch emission can explain this correl)
- Tool to identify bursts with additional component when strong BB.

The L_{Band}-E_{peak} Relation



The L_{Band}-E_{peak} Relation







- When corrected from the redshift (source frame), data points are very well aligned
- Dispersion could be due to other parameters not included in this analysis yet.
- An intrinsic phenomenon seems to be responsible for this correlation.

• Beyond a possible identification of the emission mechanism this correlation could eventually be used as an estimator of the redshift.

!!! LIMITED SAMPLE OF BURSTS !!!

Sylvain Guiriec – 4th Fermi Symposium, Monterey,CA, USA, 2012

BACKUP

Sylvain Guiriec – 4th Fermi Symposium, Monterey,CA, USA, 2012

Thermal Emission

GRB 100724B



(Guiriec et al. 2011, ApJL 727, L33)

- E_{peak} and kT evolve independently. kT evolution : constant or slow cooling, or clear cooling. •
- With BB, Band more compatible with synchrotron models. •

Additional Power Law to the Band Function CGRO Fermi



(Guiriec et al. 2010, ApJ 725, 225G)

- Additional PL can be identified in GBM data alone.
- PL overpowers the Band spectrum at both low and high energy.
- Additional PL does not always extend to high energies.

Deviation from the Band Function (Band+PL) Before Fermi



Additional PL not compatible with synchrotron emission.

6/15

Is GRB 120323A an Unusually Soft and Intense Short GRB

is it a Regular Short GRB with an Intense Additional BB Component (Photospheric) ?

Short GRB 120323A





ylvain Guiriec – GRB 2012, Marbella

3/15





















Interpretation

Band Only Scenario	Band+BB Scenario
 Photospheric origin with additional dissipative processes at the photosphere Or 	 Synchrotron emission in electron fast cooling regime together with subdominant photospheric emission. Jet thermally accelerated or high magnetization close to the source and energy mostly converted into kinetic energy below the photosphere (low magnetization far from the source)
Photospheric emission followed with non-thermal radiation	10 ⁴ [¹ ² ² ¹

10²

10

10²

1.1.1.1.1.1

E [keV]

10³

 10^{4}

9/1

Impact of the Photospheric Emission on the Flux-E_{peak} and Luminosity-E_{peak} Relations





Conclusion

• Is GRB 120323A an unusually intense and soft short GRB, or is it a common GRB (based on its Band function) looking like softer because of an intense BB at low energy ?



Band+BB scenario seems to be robust.



Band+BB could be explain by either a jet thermally accelerated or an outflow highly magnetized close to the source whose energy is converted into kinetic energy below the photosphere (and low magnetization at large radius)



Band only scenario could eventually be explain with either photospheric emission only (including dissipative processes) or photospheric emission followed with a non thermal episode.

• There is apparently a strong correlation between the E_{peak} and the Luminosity (and Flux) of the Band function.



Possibility to discriminate between models.



Could eventually be used as a redshift estimator.



























