



The Search for High-Energy Extended Emission by Fermi-LAT from Swift-localized Bursts

Jim Chiang KIPAC / SLAC Judy Racusin NASA GSFC

for the Fermi-LAT collaboration

J. Chiang

Samma-ray game Telescope



Motivation and Proposed Study

- Extended emission is a recurring feature in LAT GRBs.
- The accurate Swift locations enable reliable unbinned likelihood analyses of LAT data.
- 145 GRBs with Swift XRT data from 11 Aug 2008-23 Oct 2010.
- Search for prompt emission in LAT is hampered by small overlap of sky coverage during prompt phase.
- In survey mode, LAT has reasonable coverage of Swift bursts on extended emission time scales, i.e., up to 8.4 ks posttrigger.
- For upper limit cases (T_s < 25), "stacking" can provide a deeper average flux measurement.
- We will handle LAT detections separately (See E. Troja's talk, Session 5).

sermi

Gamma-ray Space Telescope

LAT Integration Window

- Extended emission in LAT decays as t^{-γ} where γ≈1.3-2.2 (V. Vasileiou's talk, Session 2).
- To optimize S/N for dim afterglows, we want an integration window with t_{stop}/t_{start} ~ few. For LAT observations of weak sources, this means the shortest window with non-trivial





- Standard unbinned analysis for each source:
 - Point source at Swift location + Gal. Diffuse + EG Diffuse
 - Extract data in 15° ROI over desired time interval, starting at Swift trigger
 - P6_V3_DIFFUSE, 0.1-300 GeV

- Model GRB spectrum with power-law and fixed photon index of 2.1.
- Scan in GRB spectrum normalization (i.e., flux), deriving likelihood profile and 95% CL UL for each burst.
- To stack, simply add the profiles together and compute T_s:

$$T_s(f) = 2(\log \mathcal{L}(f=0) - \log \mathcal{L}(f))$$

The peak of the T_s profile gives the stacked maximum likelihood estimate of the population average flux.

ace Telescope



Three Extended Emission Detections





Individual Flux Upper Limits

 LAT 95% CL flux upper limits for t_{dur}=10⁴s post-trigger for Swift GRBs since 11 Aug 2010





Full energy range (0.1-300 GeV):

 $F_{0.1-300} = (5.0 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-8} \text{ ph cm}$ $^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}, T_{s} = 21.8$

- ¹/₂ subsets of the data have expected behavior: T_s grows linearly with sample size.
- High band (1-300GeV): $F_{1-300} = (4\pm 2) \times 10^{-9} \text{ ph cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ $T_s = 12.6$
- Low band (0.1-1 GeV): $F_{0.1-1} = (4\pm 2) \times 10^{-8} \text{ ph cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ $T_s = 8.6$
- ⇒ Photon index = 2.07
 (consistent with assumed index)



J. Chiang

sermi

Gamma-ray Space Telescope





Stacked Counts Maps



GRB location set at the center of the map for each of the 145 ROIs.



Swift-XRT Observations

 We have derived the Swift XRT fluxes (0.3-10keV) and photon indexes for the first 10⁴s post-trigger:



- Caveats:
 - Flaring episodes were excluded from fits.
 - Photon indices were derived from data later in the light curves. Known strong spectral evolution may complicate interpretation with LAT results.



Average Swift-XRT/Fermi-LAT SED





Conclusions

- Stacking analysis of LAT data can provide much deeper limit than for individual analyses: O(N_{srcs}) more sensitive for photon-limited data.
- Marginal signal (T_s=22) seen in LAT stacking of 145 Swift GRB locations.
- Preliminary analysis of the X-ray to γ -ray SED suggests $\alpha_{x\gamma} \sim 1$ and possible indication of an SSC component.

GRB 2010, Annapolis MD, 3 November 2010



Backup Slides



Optimization of the Observing Window

- Extended emission from LAT bursts decay as t^{-γ}, γ ≈ 1.3 2.2
 ⇒ Too short a window and data will lack statistics; too long and data will be background-dominated.
- Optimize signal-to-noise ξ in Poisson case:

$$\xi = \int_{t_{\min}}^{t_{\max}} S(t) dt \bigg/ \left[\int_{t_{\min}}^{t_{\max}} (S(t) + B(t)) dt \right]^{1/2}$$
(1)

Here S(t) and B(t) are the signal and background count rates as a function of time, and t_{\min} and t_{\max} are the start and stop times of the LAT integrations. We wish to optimize ξ with respect to t_{\max} : $\partial \xi / \partial t_{\max} = 0$ yields

$$\frac{B(t_{\max})}{S(t_{\max})} = 1 + 2 \frac{\int_{t_{\min}}^{t_{\max}} B(t) dt}{\int_{t_{\min}}^{t_{\max}} S(t) dt}$$
(2)

For $S(t) = S_0(t/t_{\rm min})^{-\gamma}$ and $B(t) = B_0$ = constant (the latter corresponding roughly to

constant effective area), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{t_{\max}}{t_{\min}}\right)^{\gamma} = \frac{S_0}{B_0} + 2\frac{(t_{\max} - t_{\min})(1 - \gamma)}{(t_{\max}/t_{\min})^{1 - \gamma} - 1}$$
(3)

Setting $\gamma = 1.5$, we find for $S_0/B_0 \gg 1$, $t_{\text{max}}/t_{\text{min}} \sim (S_0/B_0)^{1/\gamma} \sim (S_0/B_0)^{2/3}$, while for $S_0/B_0 \ll 1$, $t_{\text{max}}/t_{\text{min}} \rightarrow 2.53$.



For weak signals (our case), the optimal interval is given by $t_{\rm max}/t_{\rm min} \approx 2.5$.