



Multi-band observations of 3C454.3 during the  $\gamma$ -ray outburst in November 2010

Greg Madejski SLAC and KIPAC, Stanford University

with Benoit Lott, Lise Escande, Stefan Larsson, Masaaki Hayashida, Yasushi Fukazawa, Marek Sikora on behalf of the Fermi-LAT collaboration

> Much of this work is in Abdo et al. 2011, arXiv:1102.0277



## Vitals of 3C 454.3

- Well-known quasar at z=0.859
- Bright, variable radio source with superluminal expansion,  $\Gamma_{iet} \sim 15$
- At times the brightest extragalactic  $\gamma$ -ray source,  $\tau_{var}$  (x2) ~ 3 hr in 2009
- First blazar w/detected γ-ray spectral break @ 2 GeV– break in the particle spectrum? γ-γ absorption via He II ?













#### Nov. 2010 flare

- 5-day long outburst with peak daily flux [E>100 MeV] of (66± 2) x 10<sup>-6</sup> ph cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> preceeded by a 13-day long plateau
- \* onset of plateau marked by weak but significant spectral hardening:
   Γ=2.50 ±0.02 to 2.32 ±0.03
- decrease in flux by ~ x3 in 4 days
- But at a high resolution...





- 3-hr peak:  $F_{100}$  = (85 ± 5) x 10<sup>-6</sup> ph cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>
- most luminous AGN yet observed: isotropic  $L_{\gamma} = (2.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{50} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$
- 4x flux increase in ~12 hr: ~ 6 hr doubling time
- 4 subflares fitted with same  $T_r$  (4.5 hr) and same  $T_f$  (15 hr)

$$F = 2F_0 (e^{(t_0 - t)/T_r} + e^{(t - t_0)/T_f})^{-1}$$

• dL/dt ~ 10<sup>46</sup> erg s<sup>-2</sup> largest ever measured for a blazar (dwarfs PKS2155-304, Mrk 501...)







\*  $\gamma\gamma$  -opacity constraints for  $E_{max}$ = 31 GeV:

\* With  $L_{BLR}$ =3x10<sup>45</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup> (Pian et al 05),  $R_{em}$  = 0.14 pc (cf. Reimer 07)

\* Since  $R_{BLR} \sim 0.2$  pc (Kaspi et al 07, the emission is close to or beyond the broad-line region



Flux [E>100MeV] (10<sup>-5</sup> ph cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>

4

2.8

2.6

2.2

55440

55460

Rome May 2011

# $\gamma$ -ray vFv spectrum



- preflare and plateau: BPL and PL+expcutoff give similar quality fits, significantly better than Log-parabola
- none of tested functions gives a good fit for the flare period

pre-flare

55500 Time (MJD)

55520

55480







- \* Flare average  $F_{E>100}$ = 43 x 10<sup>-6</sup> ph cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, L<sub>γ</sub> ~ 10<sup>50</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup> L<sub>Edd</sub>~ (0.6-5)x10<sup>47</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup> ; L<sub>disk</sub>~ 7x10<sup>46</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup> (Bonnoli et al. 10) with δ<sub>min</sub> from VLBI or γγ -opacity constraints (~ 20), L<sub>γ</sub> ~ L<sub>disk</sub>
- Spectrum consistent with broken power law, modest spectral variability with flux
- Comoving size of the emission region: R' = c  $t_{var} \delta_{min}/(1+z) \sim 3 \times 10^{15}$  cm = 0.001 pc
- γγ -opacity constraints for E<sub>max</sub>=31 GeV -> with L<sub>BLR</sub>=3x10<sup>45</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup> (Pian et al. 05) r<sub>em</sub>=0.14 pc, (Reimer et al. 2007 formalism) compares to r<sub>BLR</sub>=0.2 pc (Kaspi et al. 2007)
- Likely scenario: compact source at a considerable distance from the BH Do we see a pattern here? 3C279, 4C21.35, ...?



- The giant flare seen in all bands
- Generally fractional variability increases with energy
- Onset of the ``plateau'' correlated with fast rise in the optical band
- Several isolated optical flares?









Short lag (<1 day) between optical and γ-rays (Vercellone et al. 09; 10; Donnarumma et al. 09, Bonning et al. 09, Pacciani et al. 10...) Rome May 2011











- Simple DCF: the optical lags  $\gamma$ -rays by ~ 1 day
- It is universal, or one-off?
- What does it mean?

Space Telescope



Multi-band time series for PKS 1502+106 (Abdo et al. 2009), 3C279 (Abdo et al. 2010; details in the poster by Hayashida et al.)

Rome May 2011





Optical polarization data for 3C454.3 from the KANATA telescope

Time series of optical polarization might provide the missing piece of the puzzle

Degree of polarization reasonably well correlated w/opt. flux -> seems to slightly lag the γ-ray flux

Degree of polarization is an excellent proxy for the strength of the ordered *B* field!

Space Telescope



### What does it mean?

pace Telescope

- Accepted scenario: both γ-rays and optical photons from the same electrons
- •Lags must be then a competition of magnetic vs. photon energy densities  $U_B$  (magnetic, generated by the jet) vs.  $U_{ph}$  (steady, external to the jet)
- \* No "obvious scenario" but *one workable picture*:
  - relatively steady flow, until...
  - some external or internal agent (MHD instability? oblique shock? curvature of the jet?) alters the local structure of the flow
  - this accelerates particles & causes gradual compression (growth) of the ordered component of magnetic field
  - accelerated particles immediately Compton-scatter external radiation
  - as the B field grows, the particles also radiate synchrotron radiation –
  - B field grows gradually -> synchrotron emission (=optical) lags  $\gamma$ -rays
- *Alternatively*: Lag is caused by a different dependence

of  $U_B$  vs.  $U_{ph}$  as a function of distance along the jet:  $U_{ph}$  drops faster than  $U_B$ 







- \* Remarkable object, remarkable Nov. 2010 flare seen in all bands
- $\gamma$ -ray flux (L<sub>app</sub> ~ 10<sup>50</sup> erg s<sup>-1</sup>) might set a record for the LAT lifetime...
- Rich features in the γ-ray band (Abdo et al. 2011) rapid variability, yet 30 GeV flux not γ-γ absorbed by disk photons
   -> compact source at a considerable distance from the BH?
- MW correlations essential! In summary:
  - \* Radio flux relatively steady
    - source becomes fully optically thin only in the sub-mm / IR band
  - \* Optical lagging  $\gamma$ -rays by ~ a day competition between  $U_{ph} \& U_B$ 
    - Optical (synchrotron) emission delayed due to gradual increase of B field associated with the same event (shock?) that accelerates particles
    - Gamma-rays (inverse Compton) are more prompt, since U<sub>ph</sub>(ext) is relatively steady





What does it mean?

- •Accepted scenario: both γ-rays and optical photons from the same electrons
- \* Lags must be then a competition of  $U_B$  vs.  $U_{ph}$
- \* No "obvious scenario" but the good workable picture is:
  - relatively steady flow, until...
  - some external or internal agent (MHD instability? oblique shock? curvature of the jet?) changes of the local structure of the flow
  - this accelerates particles & causes gradual compression (growth) of magnetic field
  - accelerated particles immediately Compton-scatter external radiation
  - As the B field grows, the particles also radiate synchrotron radiation –
  - B field grows gradually -> synchrotron emission (=optical) lags γ-rays

Alternatively: Lag is caused by a different dependence of

Such a lag can be produced in the EC model in the region where energy density of external radiation, as measured in the source co-moving frame, drops faster with a distance than the energy density of the magnetic field within a jet. Under such conditions, the convolution of the particle injection rate with the radiation efficiencies of the EC and synchrotron emission will first generate the \$\gamma\$-ray peak and then the optical peak. If confirmed, the \$\sim 10\$-day lag may imply the location of the activity (blazar) zone at distances corresponding with those postulated to explain the 2009 optical polarization-swing-event in terms of the scenario of a source propagating along the curved trajectory (Abdo et al. 2010).











- correlated variability between
  γ-rays and optical
  - γ-rays and X-rays
- ~ quadratic dependence of γ-rays wrt optical, X-rays
- SSC in X-rays / EC in  $\gamma$ -rays  $\rightarrow F_x \alpha F_{\gamma}^2$ opposite to observed trend
- inverse correlation between B and dissipated power invoked

Bonnoli et al. 10







Radio: 3036 (Gurwell & Wehrle)

NIR: 2988 (Carrasco et al.), 3042 (Carrasco et al.)

Optical: 3003 (Larionov et al), 3005 (Semkov et al.), 3022 (Bonning et al.), 3047 (Krajci et al.)

INTEGRAL: 3055 (Pian et al.)

AGILE: 2995 (Vercellone et al.), 3034 (Striani et al.), 3043 (Striani et al.), 3049 (Striani et al.)

Fermi-LAT: 3041 (Sanchez & Escande)



## Flux/index light curves



• F[E>1 GeV] light curve has sharper structures than F[E>100 MeV] light curve

• Significant differences during rise (~ 15 hr lag) and fall of the main flare

• Confirmed by trend of spectral index obtained over different energy ranges





## Flux[E>E<sub>0</sub>] vs photon index



#### E<sub>0</sub> decorrelation energy (=163 MeV)

Initial significant hardening in 0.1-1 GeV range

When  $F_{0.1-1 \text{ GeV}}$  levels off, the photon index in this range remains constant while  $F_{>1 \text{ GeV}}$  keeps rising

Clockwise pattern in second part of the flare (hard lag effect)

Alternative dominance of acceleration and cooling?







Rome May 2011



## **Continuous spectral evolution**



Rome May 2011



## **Constant break-energy issue**



- γγ attenuation from He II recombination line photons (Poutanen & Stern 2010)
- intrinsic electron spectral breaks (Abdo et al. 2009)
- Ly α scattering (Abdo et al. 2010)
- hybrid scattering (Finke & Dermer) scenarios
  Break results from sum of two different EC components: disk (low-energy γ,high-energy e) BLR (high-energy γ,lower-energy e)















Rome May 2011











- a truly amazing event
- record flux might not be exceeded during entire LAT livetime...
- rich spectral features in the gamma-rays significant hardening during flare hard lag, delayed arrival of high-energy photons approximate constancy of break energy
- MW correlations should provide a wealth of important information