



Cosmology with Fermi-LAT

Alberto Domínguez

Grupo de Altas Energías, Universidad Complutense de Madrid

R. Wojtak, J. Finke, M. Ajello, A. Desai, F. Prada, K. Helgason, V. Paliya, L. Marcotulli, D. Hartmann





Domínguez, Primack, Bell Scientific American, August 2015

Fermi Symposium 10th Anniversary, Baltimore 14 – 19 October 2018

Galaxy Evolution and Cosmology



 $Ω_{\Lambda}$ dark energy $Ω_{D}$ dark matter

 $\Omega_{\rm h}$ baryons

Extragalactic Background Light



Gamma-ray Attenuation



Gamma-ray Attenuation



Optical Depths from Gamma-ray data



EBL model compatibility with Gamma-ray data

Model	Ref.	Significance of $b=0$ $b^{\rm b}$ Rejectiona $b^{\rm b}$		Significance of b=1 Rejection ^c
Scully et al. (2014) – high	(49)	16.0	0.42 ± 0.03	17.4
Kneiske et al. (2004) – best -fit	(50)	16.9	$0.68 {\pm} 0.05$	6.0
Gilmore et al. (2012) – fixed	(51)	16.7	$1.30 {\pm} 0.10$	3.0
Gilmore et al. (2012) – fiducial	(51)	16.6	$0.81 {\pm} 0.06$	2.9
Dominguez et al. (2011)	(16)	16.6	1.31 ± 0.10	2.9
Franceschini et al. (2017)	(52)	16.4	$1.25 {\pm} 0.10$	2.5
Gilmore et al. (2009)	(53)	16.7	$1.03 {\pm} 0.08$	2.4
Inoue et al. (2013)	(54)	16.2	$0.87 {\pm} 0.06$	2.1
Kneiske & Dole (2010)	(55)	16.8	$0.94{\pm}0.08$	1.7
Helgason et al. (2012)	(17)	16.5	$1.10{\pm}0.08$	1.3
Finke et al. (2010) – model C	(15)	17.1	1.03 ± 0.08	0.4
<i>Scully et al. (2014) – low</i>	(49)	16.0	1.00 ± 0.07	0.1

Abdollahi+ 18

Somehow these two models bracket the EBL uncertainties that are compatible with gamma-ray attenuation

Measuring H₀ with Gamma-ray attenuation



Measuring H₀ with Gamma-ray attenuation



Comparison with other Methodologies

Gamma-ray attenuation (This Work)	-
*	
eCMB+BAO+Cepheids+SNe (Hinshaw et al. 2013) ◆	
Planck+WP+highL+BAO (Ade et al. 2013)	
Gamma-ray attenuation (Domínguez & Prada 2013)	
Planck TT, TE, EE+lowPWP+highL+BAO (Ade et al. 2015)	
DES (combined, Abbott et al. 2018)	inary
Type la Supernova (Riess et al. 2018)	
High-redshift Galaxy Clusters (Bonamente et al. 2006)	
Type la Supernova (Riess et al. 2011)	
Gravitational Lensing (Suyu et al. 2012)	
CMB+BAO (Anderson et al. 2012)	
Extragalactic HII (Chávez et al. 2012)	
CMB (Hinshaw et al. 2013)	
Galaxy Clustering (Chuang et al. 2013)	
Cepheids (Freedman et al. 2012)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	85 9

Combination of techniques is important to control systematics

Domínguez+ (in prep.)

Results: Only Gamma Rays



Results: Only Gamma Rays



Results: Combined with other Methodologies



Results: Combined with other Methodologies



Summary

- Measurements of gamma-ray attenuation can be used to extract cosmological information: novel and independent technique

- These latest optical-depth measurements, both from Fermi-LAT and Cherenkov telescopes, have been used to search for the $\rm H_{0}$ and $\Omega_{\rm m}$ values

- We obtain $H_0 = 66.4_{-2.3}$ + ^{1.5} km/Mpc/s (fixing WM=0.27) compatible with the lower end of Hubble constant measurement from other methodologies

- First attempt of measuring simultaneously H_0 and Ω_m with gamma rays leading to Ω_m < 0.35 (2sigma)



EBL models: Finke+ 10



Dust emission computed self-consistently:

 $f_n \int d\epsilon \, \frac{1}{f_{esc}(\epsilon)} [1 - f_{esc}(\epsilon)] \, j_{\epsilon}^{stars}(z) = \int d\epsilon \, j_{\epsilon,n}(\Theta_n)$

Three component dust model:

Component	n	f_n	T_n [K]	$\Theta_n \ [10^{-9}]$
Warm Large Grains	1	0.60	40	7
Hot Small Grains	2	0.05	70	12
PAHs	3	0.35	450	76

EBL energy density:
$$\epsilon u_{EBL}(\epsilon; z) = \int_{z}^{z_{max}} dz_1 \frac{\epsilon'' j_{\epsilon''}(z_1)}{(1+z_1)} \left| \frac{dt_*}{dz_1} \right|^2$$

JF, Razzaque, & Dermer, (2010), ApJ, 712, 238 Razzaque, Dermer, & JF, (2009), ApJ, 697, 483

EBL models: Domínguez+ 11



Total: 5986 galaxies



Optical depth dependence with \Omega_{\mu}



Optical depth dependence with \Omega_{n}



Optical depth dependence with \Omega_{n}

