Millisecond Pulsars are likely (leptonic, magnetospheric) PeV Particle Accelerators

Zorawar Wadiasingh (UMD, NASA GSFC) with Minju Sim and Hongjun An (Chungbuk National University, South Korea)

much previous work also involves C. Venter, A. K. Harding, C.J.T. van der Merwe, M. G. Baring, M. Boettcher, P. Kilian and others

Fermi Symposium, Sep 9, 2024

Outline I will try to convince you from evidence that:

- Spider binaries are extremely interesting and well-constrained+clean laboratories of pulsar wind physics
- Fermi-LAT in recent years has detected something remarkable in three of these systems with *pulsed* orbitally-modulated γ-rays
 - The phasing and flux of these γ-rays cannot be explained with models of inverse Compton scattering of the pulsar wind on (companion) photons
 - A workable solution, perhaps the only one, seems to be ~0.1 PeV electron/positrons from the pulsar magnetosphere interacting with a companion magnetosphere
 - The phasing implies these ~0.1 PeV electrons are likely the same ones which produced pulsed GeV signals from pulsars



Some background

The Neutron Star Zoo



Rotation-powered pulsars

- About 3000 total, ~10% gamma-ray pulsars
- Millisecond pulsars are mostly in binaries
- Fermi-LAT is limited to detecting pulsars above spin down power >10^31 erg/s

 $(3Ic^3P\dot{P})^{1/2}$



The Neutron Star Zoo



Rotation-powered pulsars

- About 3000 total, ~10% gamma-ray pulsars
- Millisecond pulsars are mostly in binaries
- Fermi-LAT is limited to detecting pulsars above spin down power >10^31 erg/s

 $\left(3Ic^{3}P\dot{P}\right)^{1/2}$



Fermi pulsars as of 2024

Known rotation-pow with measure

Millisecond pulsars (with measure Field MSPs^U MSPs in glob

Gamma-ray pulsar

Spectral fits Profile fits in

Young gamma-ray p Radio quiet Gamma-ray MSPs Isolated, Bin Discovered in Radio-quiet Black Widow Radio MSPs discover

with gamma-

waiting for ep

Smith+2023, 3PC

3rd Fermi LAT PULSAR CATALOG

Table 1. Pulsar varieties

Category	Count	Sub-count
	0400	
vered pulsars (RPPs) ^a	3436	
ed $E > 3 \times 10^{33} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$		762
(MSPs, $P < 30 \text{ ms}$)	681	
ed $\dot{E} > 3 \times 10^{33}$ erg s ⁻¹		250
		200
		427
bular clusters \mathcal{C}		254
$m_{\rm c}$ in this entries d	204	
f f	49 4	
(with free b parameter) J		$255 \ (116)$
$n \geq 1, 2, 6$ energy bands		236, 167, 28
ulgarg	150	
2	100	70
	-1 4 4	
	144	
		$\frac{32}{32}, \frac{112}{112}$
n LAT blind searches		10
		6
vs. Redbacks:		32.13
,,		, _0
red in LAT sources	110	
nou pulgotiona	110	70
-ray puisations \mathcal{J}		10
phemeris phase-connection a		33



Smith+2023, 3PC



Smith+2023, 3PC

"Spider" millisecond pulsar binaries **Black Widows and Redbacks - "devour" companion**

- Non-accreting systems, pulsar is active •
- Companion is tidally-locked, has a hot "day side"
- Orbital periods <1 day, some as short as 90 minutes
- Black widows: low mass companion 0.01-0.1 M_{sun}, often degenerate/ablated
- <u>Redbacks</u>: higher mass companions of 0.1-1 M_{sun} (Mallory Roberts+2011/2013)
- Intrabinary shock between pulsar and companion leads to particle acceleration and orbitallymodulated emission

Swihart et al. 2022



"Spider" millisecond pulsar binaries **Black Widows and Redbacks - "devour" companion**

- Non-accreting systems, pulsar is active
- See/remember Nazma Islam and Tinn
- Orbital period Thongmeearkom's talks (today)
- Redbacks: higher See Robin Corbet's poster
- Intrabinary shock between pulsar and companion leads to particle acceleration and orbitallymodulated emission

Swihart et al. 2022

candidate redback See Marco Turchetta's talk (Wednesday) See Valentina Richard Romei's talk (Thursday) See Mallory Roberts' poster 0.01 0.10 companion mass (M_{\odot}) $\dot{E}_{\rm MSP} \sim 10^{34} - 10^{35} {\rm erg s}^{-1}$



Low mass stars interiors and convection

C. J. Clark et al.

via gamma-ray pulsar timing over long timescales

(tidally locked with the MSP, rotating very fast in their short orbital period)



Gamma-ray eclipses of millisecond pulsars Clark et al. 2023



Fig. 1 | Gamma-ray orbital light curves of seven eclipsing spider pulsars. The
red dashed lines show the estimated background level. Phase 0 corresponds
to the pulsar's ascending node. The phase of the pulsar's superior conjunction,
where eclipses would be expected to occur, has been placed at the centre of aphase bin, and is shown at the centre of the plots for emphasis. Bin widths were
chosen to be close to the best-fitting eclipse duration. Bin heights show the
sum of the photon weights in each orbital phase bin, and error bars show the
corresponding 10 Poisson uncertainties.

Why are "Spider" Binaries Interesting?

- We know pulsar winds are good accelerators and make TeV emission
- Clean systems: circular orbits, many orbits, pulsar well timed, companion radial velocities ==> inclination and component masses constrained
- Fermi gamma-ray pulsations constrains pulsar magnetic obliguity and also binary inclination (if spin and orbital axes aligned)
- Many of them (~10 now with X-ray obs, ~60 in the radio) and growing
- Study shock acceleration and pulsar winds in obligue shocks
- Doppler boosting along shock necessary to match X-ray LCs. This constrains the character of the pulsar termination shock
- Target photons inverse Compton in the TeV
- Flares of the companion $u \sim 1$ to $u >> 1 erg/cm^3 well suited flaring timescales for IACTs$
- Double humped SED should peak in the MeV and TeV some (all?) could be "gamma-ray binaries"
- Promising targets for CTA and MeV concepts









$$c^2 \sim e \Phi_{\text{open}} \sim e B_{\text{LC}} R_{\text{LC}} \sim 10^{16} B_{12} R_6^3 P_{33\,\text{ms}}^{-2}$$
 eV
 $\sim 10^{15} B_9 R_6^3 P_{3\,\text{ms}}^{-2}$ eV
 $\sim 1 - 10 \text{ PeV}$







Vela Pulsar

HESS collaboration 2023 A. Djannati-Ataï, E. de Ona Wilhelmi, B. Rudak, C. Venter.



Schematic Geometry (Pulsar State)



Physics somewhat similar to massive binaries (cf. Dubus) but scales and geometry differ — shock may be around companion



Characteristic Scales for Shock Models $a \sim 10^{11} \text{ cm}$ $R_* \sim 0.3a$ $r_{\text{LC}} \sim 10^7 \text{ cm} \sim 10^{-4}a$ $T_{\rm comp} \sim 5000 - 8000 \, {\rm K}$ $B_{\rm w} \approx \left(\frac{3E_{\rm SD}}{2c}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{r_{\rm s}} = 22 \left(\frac{\dot{E}_{\rm SD}}{10^{35} \,{\rm erg} \,{\rm s}^{-1}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{10^{11} \,{\rm cm}}{r_{\rm s}}\right)^{1/2} \frac{10^{11} \,{\rm cm}}{r_{\rm s}}$ $\sigma \sim 10^{-5} - 10^{-3}$ from SED fitting $u_B \sim O(0.1 - 10) \text{ erg cm}^{-3}$ $u_{\rm ph} \sim O(0.1 - 1) \, {\rm erg} \, {\rm cm}^{-3}$ $E_{\rm cut} \sim 0.1 - 10 \text{ erg} \rightarrow 0.1 - 10 \text{ TeV}$ electrons

$$\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{m}} \quad B_{\mathrm{s}} \gtrsim B_{\mathrm{s,min}} \approx 4.4 \ \epsilon_{X,\mathrm{max}}^{1/3} \left(\frac{10^9 \,\mathrm{cm}}{r_{\mathrm{L}}}\right)^{2/3}$$



Characteristic Scales for Shock Models $a \sim 10^{11} \text{ cm}$ $R_* \sim 0.3a$ $r_{\rm LC} \sim 10^7 \text{ cm} \sim 10^{-4}a$ $T_{\rm comp} \sim 5000 - 8000 \, {\rm K}$

$B_{\rm w} \approx \left(\frac{3\dot{E}_{\rm SD}}{2c}\right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{r_{\rm s}} = 22 \left(\frac{\dot{E}_{\rm SD}}{10^{35} \, {\rm erg \, s^{-1}}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{10^{11} \, {\rm cm}}{r_{\rm s}}\right) \, {\rm G} \qquad B_{\rm s} \gtrsim B_{\rm s,min} \approx 4.4 \, \epsilon_{X,\rm max}^{1/3} \left(\frac{10^9 \, {\rm cm}}{r_{\rm L}}\right)^{2/3} \, {\rm G} \\ See Christo Venter's poster from SED fitting for the second second$

 $u_B \sim O(0.1 - 10) \text{ erg cm}^{-3}$ $u_{\rm ph} \sim O(0.1 - 1) \, {\rm erg} \, {\rm cm}^{-3}$ $E_{\rm cut} \sim 0.1 - 10 \text{ erg} \rightarrow 0.1 - 10 \text{ TeV}$ electrons



X-ray Observations

- Spectral photon indices are typically $\Gamma \approx 1-1.5$ implying very hard underlying electron power-law distributions and efficient acceleration
- Up to 80 keV NuSTAR PL implies downstream shocked B \ge 1 G by containment (Hillas criterion) arguments





Radio Properties

- Frequency-dependent radio eclipses (disappearance of radio pulses).
- Shrouding of MSP pulsed radio emission by intrabinary material.
- Higher frequency observations probe denser regions closer to the shock.









Model Schematic — Doppler Boosting



ApJ 904:91, 2020

Van der Merwe, Wadiasingh, Venter, Harding & Baring;

Schematic Scenarios



Sim, An, Wadiasingh 2024 ApJ **964** 109





Schematic Scenarios



Sim, An, Wadiasingh 2024 ApJ **964** 109





Fermi-LAT Orbital Modulation Seen in a small subset of spiders





Fermi-LAT Orbital Modulation — Pulse Enhancement Redback J2039-5617



Pulsed enhancement near pulsar <u>superior</u>





Pulsar Wind + companion B





Wadiasingh et al. (2018)

Х



Sim, An, Wadiasingh 2024 ApJ **964** 109



Scenario 1,1a – doesn't work!

- Simply, the optical depth to scattering is not high enough for efficient production of MeV/GeV gamma-rays
- form a shock

$$F_{\rm IC,wind} = 4\sigma_{\rm T} c u_* \gamma_w^2 \frac{\eta_w E_{\rm SD} \tau_w}{4\pi d^2 \gamma_w m_e c^2} \approx 10^{-16} \frac{\eta_w \dot{E}_{\rm SD,35} \gamma_w}{d_{\rm kpc}^2} \left(\frac{u_*}{1 \text{ erg cm}^{-3}}\right) \left(\frac{\tau_w}{1 \text{ s}}\right) \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2},$$

$$F_{\rm IC,wind} = 4\sigma_{\rm T} c u_* \gamma_w^2 \frac{\eta_w E_{\rm SD} \tau_w}{4\pi d^2 \gamma_w m_e c^2} \approx 10^{-16} \frac{\eta_w \dot{E}_{\rm SD,35} \gamma_w}{d_{\rm kpc}^2} \left(\frac{u_*}{1 \text{ erg cm}^{-3}}\right) \left(\frac{\tau_w}{1 \text{ s}}\right) \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2},$$

Way too low flux to match observations by 2-3 orders of magnitude

Sim, An, Wadiasingh 2024 ApJ **964** 109

 One can "phenomenologically" increase the flux by increasing the particle resident time ==> but then this requires an upstream speed that is too slow to

Scenario 1,1a – doesn't work!

 $K_{\rm LC}$

 $\theta_{\rm p}$

 R_0



Way too low flux to match observations by 2-3 orders of magnitude

Sim, An, Wadiasingh 2024 ApJ **964** 109



- Originally proposed in van der Merwe+2020 and Clark+2021
- temporal phase with the magnetospheric gamma-rays!
- millisecond pulsars, as corroborated by HESS for the Vela pulsar

$$t_{\rm cool} \approx 8 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{\gamma_p}{10^8}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{B}{0.1 \text{ kG}}\right)^{-2} \text{ s.}$$

• B high enough that particles lose energy in <1 ms — the emission stays in

 10⁷-10⁸ Lorentz factors also required for pulsed GeV emission in the curvature radio scenario in the current sheet (e.g. Kalapotharakos et al. 2019, 2023) for

$$F_{\rm SY} = \frac{c\sigma_{\rm T}\zeta \dot{N}_p t_{\rm cool}}{3\pi d^2} \gamma_p^2 U_B$$
$$\approx 8 \times 10^{-10} \frac{\zeta \eta_p \dot{E}_{\rm SD,35}}{d_{\rm kpc}^2} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ org}$$



HESS Vela Pulsar measurements require multi-TeV particles in the current sheet (in phase with the GeV)



Scenario 2 models

Originally proposed in van der Merwe+ 2020 and Clark+2021

parameter space under consideration.

Sim, An, Wadiasingh 2024 ApJ **964** 109

Figure 6. GeV LCs generated through our numerical model (Section 4) based on Scenario 2, employing optimized parameters specific to J2339 (Table 4). Changes in the LCs are attributed to different values of γ_p (left), B_c (middle), and ζ (right), reflecting the diverse

Sim, An, Wadiasingh 2024 ApJ **964** 109

SEDs

(parameter exploration not attempted, just a reasonable set)

Sim, An, Wadiasingh 2024 ApJ **964** 109

Summary

- Millisecond pulsars appear to be able to accelerate particles to 0.1 PeV energies in their **magnetospheres** (consistent with HESS results of pulsed 20 TeV emission from Vela)
 - additional diagnostics not available in isolated pulsars
- current sheet
- given how far they are rotating (synchronized/locked with orbit)
- mass stars
- **Future:** Protons?

• We only know this because of LAT and the existence of spiders binaries which allow for

• This scenario is also consistent with curvature radiation models (e.g. Kalapotharakos et al. 2018) of pulsed GeV, but not purely synchrotron models with high multiplicity (lower Lorentz factor) in the

• Redback companions seem to have strong (kilogauss) magnetic fields — this is not surprising

• **Future:** GeV gamma-rays could be used to map stellar magnetic fields in these systems, possibly see how they correlated with orbital period timing variations -> probe convective interiors of low-

