# The IceCube search for neutrinos from GRB 221009A

**Rachel Procter-Murphy**, Bennett Brinson, Karlijn Kruiswijk, Jessie Thwaites, and Nora Valtonen-Mattila on behalf of the IceCube Collaboration

#### Why search for neutrinos coincident with gamma ray bursts?

- The origins of ultra high energy cosmic rays (UHECR) remain unknown as hadrons do not point back to their sources
- Neutrinos, which are produced during hadronic acceleration, point back to their sources
- Gamma ray bursts are thought to be a source of UHECR

Finding neutrinos coincident with GRBs would provide smoking gun evidence that GRBs are sources of UHECRs



## IceCube Overview

- Cubic kilometer neutrino detector located at the South Pole
- Completed in 2011
- Detects Cherenkov radiation produced from secondary particles
- Sub-detectors:
  - IceCube array (optimized for >TeV neutrinos)
  - DeepCore (optimized for GeV-TeV neutrinos)



#### **IceCube Datasets**

Μον

**FRA/GFU**: Utilized full detector, through goingmuon tracks, directional resolution (~0.5°)

**GRECO**: Utilized full detector, must trigger in DeepCore, events from all neutrino flavors, directional resolution (~30°)

**ELOWEN**: Utilized DeepCore, no directional reconstruction, look for excess of events

MeV Supernova: Utilized photo-multiplier rates, generally used to search for galactic supernova neutrinos

Supernova	ELOWEN	GRECO	FRA/GFU		
MeV	0.5-5 GeV	10-1000 GeV	>100 GeV		



IceCube Analysis: arXiv:2302.05459

4

## IceCube Events



MeV Supernova	MeV Supernova ELOWEN		FRA/GFU
MeV	0.5-5 GeV	10-1000 GeV	>100 GeV



#### IceCube Analysis Results

Found no significant deviation from background in any time window, across the entire energy range

Set strong upper limits in multiple time windows across the energy range, assuming a fixed power law flux

**ELOWEN** 

0.5-5 GeV

MeV Supernova

MeV



#### **Differential Upper Limits**



#### MeV Supernova Differential Upper Limits



#### **GeV Model Limits**

Neutrinos created from neutron-proton interactions

Quasi-Thermal Decoupling Model: Neutrons decouple from jet to produce neutrinos

Quasi-Thermal Collision Model: Neutrons collide with subsequent outflow to produce neutrinos

Decoupling model: arXiv:hep-ph/0004019 Collision Model: arXiv:2210.15625

MeV Supernova	ELOWEN	GRECO	FRA/GFU		
MeV	0.5-5 GeV	10-1000 GeV	>100 GeV		



#### >100 GeV Models

Neutrinos created through photo-hadronic interactions

Tested two fireball models

- Internal Collision-Induced Magnetic Reconnection and Turbulence (ICMART):
  - Magnetic reconnection
  - Larger radius
- Internal shock model

ICMART:arXiv:1011.1197, arXiv:1210.0647 Internal Shock: arXiv:astro-ph/9701231, arXiv:1210.0647

MeV Supernova	MeV Supernova ELOWEN		FRA/GFU		
MeV	0.5-5 GeV	10-1000 GeV	>100 GeV		



#### >100 GeV Model Limits

90% upper limits for ICMART and Internal Shock compared to previous IceCube limits of 800 stacked GRBs

At Fermi-GBM's lower limit of  $\Gamma \ge 780$ , we set a limit of  $f_p \le 60.6$  for the internal shock model



Previous IceCube limits: arXiv:1601.06484 Fermi-GBM limits: arXiv:2303.14172

Mev Supernova	ELOWEN	GRECO	FRA/GFU	
MeV	0.5-5 GeV	10-1000 GeV	>100 GeV	

## **Future Prospects**

- Limited at high energies with current detector size → IceCube Gen2
- Improve sensitivity in current energy range and expand energy range up to 10<sup>18</sup> GeV with Gen2 Radio Array

Y IceCube-Gen2 Radio





#### Conclusion

- Saw no significant deviation from background
- Set strong upper limits on neutrino emission across a wide energy range
- Constrained several models predicting neutrino emission
- Future improvements to IceCube will extend energy range (proposed IceCube Gen2)



#### MeV

Supernova	ELOWEN	GRECO	FRA/GFU	Gen2	IceCube Analysis: arXiv:2302.05459 IceCube model testing: arXiv:2307.16354
MeV	0.5-5 GeV	10-1000 GeV	>100 GeV	PeV-EeV	14

# Backup

#### **Upper limits**

Dataset	Dataset Time Window & Index <sup>♯</sup>		90% C.L. Upper Limits (ULs) on the Time-integrated Neutrino Flux $F(E)$				
		Power-law $F_{\nu+\bar{\nu}}(E) \propto E^{-\gamma}$ : per-flavor ULs show $E^2 F_{\nu+\bar{\nu}}(E)$ [GeV cm <sup>-2</sup> ] at $E_0$					
			$E_0$	$\gamma = 1.5$	$\gamma = 2.0$	$\gamma = 2.5$	$\gamma = 3.0$
	[T0 - 1 hr, T0 + 2 hr]	(a)		0.0359	0.0393*	0.0143	0.00240
	$T0 \pm 1 d$	(b)		0.0370	0.0410*	0.0176	0.00345
GFU	T90 phase	(c)	100 TeV		0.0364		
	[T0 - 200 s, T0 + 2000 s]	( <i>d</i> )			0.0369		
	[T0 - 1 d, T0 + 14 d]	(e)			0.0471		
GRECO	T90 phase	(c)	1 TeV	2.104	2.030	1.122	0.348
UKECU	[T0 - 200 s, T0 + 2000 s]	( <i>d</i> )	1 10 4	2.774	2.676	1.480	0.458
FI OWEN	$T0 \pm 500  s$	(f)	1 GeV		$1.8 \times 10^{3}$	$2.9 \times 10^{3}$	$0.47 \times 10^4$
LLOWLIN	[T0 - 200  s , T0 + 2000  s]	( <i>d</i> )	1.001		$2.6 \times 10^3$	$0.43 \times 10^4$	$0.67 \times 10^{4}$
		Quasi-thermal $F_{\bar{v}_e}(E) \propto E^2 \exp(-3E/\langle E \rangle)$ : $\bar{v}_e$ UL on total and peak flux					
		$\langle E \rangle$	Total $\bar{\nu}_e$ Flux [cm <sup>-2</sup> ]		$E^2 F_{\tilde{v}_e}(E)$ [GeV cm <sup>-2</sup> ] at $\langle E \rangle$		
[T0 - 100  s, T0] (g)			7.98	× 10 <sup>8</sup>	8.05	× 10 <sup>6</sup>	
SNDAQ	[T0-1s,T0]	(h)		1.81	× 10 <sup>9</sup>	1.82	× 10 <sup>7</sup>
	[T0, T0 + 17 s]	(i)	15 MeV	8.00	$\times 10^{8}$	8.07	× 10 <sup>6</sup>
	[T0 + 18 s, T0 + 174 s]	(j)	15 1410 V	3.08	$\times 10^{8}$	3.11	× 10 <sup>6</sup>
	[T0 + 174 s , T0 + 175 s]	(k)		1.35	× 10 <sup>9</sup>	1.36	× 10 <sup>7</sup>
	[T0 + 175 s, T0 + 547 s]	(l)		4.00	$\times 10^{8}$	4.03	× 10 <sup>6</sup>

NOTE—<sup>#</sup>The different time windows are discussed in section 4 and are referenced by their inline text indices.

NOTE-\*Values corresponding to the real-time FRA results published in Thwaites (2022).

#### >100 GeV Model Assumptions

- Proton spectrum follows *E*<sup>-2</sup> power law
- Parameters given by Fermi-GBM (arXiv:2303.14172):
  - Redshift (z = 0.151)
  - Isotropic equivalent luminosity ( $L_{ISO} = 9.9 \times 10^{53} \text{ erg/s}$ )
  - Low energy photon index (a = -1.583)
  - High energy photon index ( $\beta$  = -3.77)
  - Break energy (E<sub>break</sub> = 1387 keV)
- Time variability

- Calculated from the lightcurve between 277–323 s from GBM, in T90 time window tested, no data issues during this time period
- $\circ$  T<sub>var</sub> = 0.1 s (internal shock model, matched GBM model)
- $T_{var} = 1$  s (match previous IceCube method for ICMART to account for larger radius, arXiv:1601.06484)

#### **GeV Model Assumptions**

#### **Quasi-Thermal Decoupling Model:**

- Neutron to proton ratio, baryon load ( $\zeta_n \xi_N = 5$ ) (arXiv:hep-ph/0004019)
- Isotropic equivalent gamma-ray energy (E<sub>y</sub><sup>iso</sup>= 1.2 x 10<sup>55</sup> erg) (Fermi-GBM: arXiv:2303.14172)

Quasi-Thermal Collision Model:

- Neutron-proton optical depth, baryon load ( $\tau_{np}\xi_N = 5$ ) (arXiv:2210.15625)
- Isotropic equivalent gamma-ray energy ( $E_{\gamma}^{iso}$ = 1.2 x 10<sup>55</sup> erg)

#### **ICMART**



ICMART: arXiv:1210.0647