The Role of UMRAO Data in Understanding y-Ray Emission

M. F. Aller, H. D. Aller, & P. A. Hughes (U.Michigan)

RET & UMRAO



UMRAO Variability Program

 Personnel: Aller, Aller, Latimer, Hughes
Instrument: UMRAO 26-meter telescope
Frequencies: 14.5, 8.0, 4.8 GHz (Flux & Pol.)
Type of Data: integrated (evolving knots +underlying quiescent jet)
Sources monitored: CP candidates (36), MOJAVE members, TeV sources (VERITAS)

Fast, flexible scheduling: immediate-few hours



position constraint:-35°<dec<81° (mechanical limits, pointing)

total flux density constraint: S> 400 mJy for flux & LP (to measure variability) S> 200 mJy for S only

sun limits: 30° at 14.5, 8.0 GHz; 60° at 4.8 GHz

EGRET: direct comparison of flux light curves Sampling, duty cycle, outburst duration



Are the radio/gamma flares causally related?

Problem: Insufficient data for rigorous, quantitative analysis of correlated activity



MOJAVE



Very compact; difficult to measure structural changes, component ejection times

NRAO 530 during EGRET: SD + 2- epoch VLBI



Gamma-ray detections do not always precede/match flares at mm/cm band: overall activity correlated but not specific flares

Global 1995 flux increase in all of the bands shown

New jet pc scale components evident in mm VLBI: 04/94, 04/95

The activity is broadband suggesting that the same particles are responsible for the radio emission in the jet and the γ -ray emission.

Bower et al. 1997

EGRET: SD + *VLBI monitoring* Extraordinary, high-amplitude flaring occurred during EGRET

Coupled with component ejection times from 43, 22 GHz VLBA data in well-resolved sources (Jorstad et al. 2001a,b), the time delays (detections often occur during rise phase) the data are consistent with a jet origin near the VLBI core for the γ -ray emission.



Linear polarization as a marker of shocks in the jet flow The temporal association with EGRET detections & new VLBI components suggests internal shocks play a role in the generation of gamma-ray emission



SEDS: emission models

log₁₀(vf(v) [erg cm⁻² s⁻¹]) 1, b 1, b 1, b Solid: SSC; dashed: SSC+EIC Time-dependent model choice Optical (KVA) Radio -12 VHE 7-ray Previous data Vew data in 200 forn archive (MAGIC) :1995 UMRAO, Metsähovi KVA, MÁGIC :1997 -13 16 20 24 12 Requires additional component log10(v [Hz])



3C 273 campaign

Von Montigny et al. 1997

Albert et al. 2007

Unresolved Questions

Can a single mechanism explain the range of observed characteristics/properties?

EMISSION SITE: BH/accretion disk vs radio jet [radio core]??

variability time scales correlated activity (radio/ γ ray)

ENERGIZATION: Shocks (where within the flow)??

PARTICLES: Nature of target photons??

TOOLS: 1) imaging+variability data (probe geometry) 2) SEDs including cm/mm (probe energetics of seed photons; single vs multi-zone models) 3) well-sampled gamma-ray light curves NEW 4) some well-sampled X-ray (keV band) light curves



Marscher et al., RXTE program

Well-sampled data in several key bands as model constraints

Which sources can we expect to exhibit variability in S and LP necessary for emission model constraints?

Characteristic behavior of the radio band variability from long term UMRAO data (> 4 decades)

1. timescales & noise process from 1st-order structure function analysis



Results from Long-term UMRAO data: 2) quasi-periodic behavior (wavelets, cross-wavelets)





Interpretation: excitation of modes of oscillation in the jet flow

Pre Launch Source Selection Criteria

- Well-resolved & frequent outbursts (activity during GLAST observations)
 - Sufficiently bright for good S/N in single dish and interferometric variability observations
 - Well-defined shock signatures (dominant polarized component)
 - Representatives from all optical class types (LPQ, HPQ, LBL, HBL, RG)
 - Objects monitored with RXTE (additional constraints from time delays and relative amplitudes from continuous data)

EGRET sources may not be active now: e.g. NRAO 530 has a quasiperiodicity of 6 yrs, 0528+134 has had no recent events.

Use CURRENT radio band activity as a guide in source selection.



Caveat 1: intensive, mf monitoring will be required

rapid flares may be missed



Caveat 2: Beware of oversimplification when extracting jet properties from radio band variability

Proper interpretation requires models incorporating 3D rel. hydro (with precession, magnetic fields) + radiative transfer

Simulation of helical flow viewed at a range of angles (Hughes 2007)

