

PDMP Issues

David Band - Science Lead, SSC



Updates

Two relevant meetings since PDMP draft was circulated

- Meeting with Peter
- Agreement to set up software working group
- Working group will define core tool suite, establish requirements
- Working group will also define databases, IRF representation
- SSC will be familiar with Level 1 pipeline; backup at SSC
- Meeting of the GLast Operations Working Group
- No decision yet on path for commands from IOCs to MOC



ssues

Guest Investigator policy

– Review:

- LAT data "verified" during 1st year (=Phase 1), not made available to data, which are released immediately non-team, non-Gls until 1 month AFTER 1st year, except for transient
- After 1st year (=Phase 2) LAT data immediately available to "qualified users" (within processing timescales)
- GBM data available immediately over entire mission (within processing timescales)
- Status of checkout data (=Phase 0)?
- after loaded into SSC databases (totally open afterwards)? Accessibility for "qualified users" for entire mission or 90 days
- Definition of a transient?
- Require or encourage 1st year observer GIs work with teams?
- Key Projects, multiyear?



Issues, Continued

- during 1st year, or just before NRA? LAT team's preliminary catalog maps--release schedule
- SSC standard production: currently ephemeral maps of monitoring of X brightest objects? counts and exposure, packaged burst product. Add
- TOO policy, needs refinement?
- Discard telemetry, keep Level 0 data?
- Should IOCs deliver to SSC time histories of instrumental quantities (e.g., PMT voltages, gains)?



Issues, Continued

- Should the IOCs deliver to the SSC simulation data (e.g., to test analysis software)?
- assistance? To all investigators? databases? Should SSC offer to do so for GIs who need Should investigators extract their own data from
- Is absence of dedicated GOF acceptable?
- Definition of joint burst data product?