Jonathan F. Ormes – Project Scientist





GLAST Science Working Group December 12-13, 2001 Santa Cruz, CA

- Get MOUs in place
- Determine division of responsibilities between IOCs and SSC
- Report on balloon test results
- Organize and finish the PDMP make list of tasks and assign them resolve issues around the exposure maps
- Meaningful work at SSC
- All software to be delivered to SSC
- Users Group to meet and review plans and comment mid September
- Required prior to NAR
- **Review the LAT calibration plan**
- Need overall chart that explains
- Tower et being exposed to beam
- Role of analytical tools
- Interpolation strategy
- Need information for users
- Need to couple with science analysis needs
- Plan science for public release during checkout
- Using GBM for hard x-ray transient studies
- High level mock data challenges

December 12, 2001



- Circulate Martin Pohl's note on "blind" pulsar searches
- Martin estimates that inertial pointing factor of two more sensitive than "survey mode"
- Scan pointing can have essentially the same sensitivity as inertial
- Just does something useful when the source is below the Earth's horizon
- Should the year 1 GI program be a Legacy program like the SIRTF program?
- Ground Based AGN followup
- Pulsar ephemeredes for GLAST
- New surveys and how to fund
- Contemporaneous?
- galactic plane Pulsar science suggests series of 2 week pointings along the

December 12, 2001





Scan-pointing

4



- **Observatory checkout 30-60 days**
- First year is scanning
- Planned observations subject to interruption for extraordinary transients
- Second year and beyond- scanning and/or pointing as driven by competitive proposals
- Observatory is designed to "point anywhere, anytime"
- Operate without pointing at the Earth
- Reorient quickly to follow a transient
- 3 normal operational modes
- Inertial pointing
- Scan
- Scan pointing takes advantage of the wide field of view to optimize time on sky



- All-sky survey during the first year.
- Output of sky survey is a point source catalog and an all sky map.
- scales consistent with the rise times of the transient) with photon data (light curves, improved positions, etc.) to follow within a few days. Transient source locations are made public immediately (i.e. on time
- unverified and uncalibrated During first year photon data to include warning that the data may be
- Best efforts to release preliminary catalogs in time for AOs
- The first 3 months of observations will be delivered at 6 months
- The full 12 months of observations will be delivered 1 month after the end of the sky survey
- Instrument verification using sources described in the LAT proposal
- Guest investigators may propose for source studies (no large projects)
- Data from these sources of interest are made available immediately to the Gls with warning.
- following the completion of the one year sky survey. Calibrated sky survey data to be released no later than 30 days
- Operations to include following five to ten bright gamma-ray bursts



- source for which the intensity of photons changes by a statistically significant (5 sigma?) amount. An unexpected A transient is defined as any gamma-ray flux from a celestial loss of flux could be a transient event.
- Numbers to be checked to make sure they are reasonable
- time required to discover the change. months. The required notification time is comparable to the The time scale for this change may be from seconds to
- Examples:
- 1) gamma-ray burst transients notification time is seconds
- 2) AGN seen doubling in a few hours, we should notify other observers within a few hours.
- 3) Pulsars are not "transients" in this sense
- 4) An AGN flux decrease could be a transient event.



- GLAST will have a robust Guest Investigator Program.
- Survey period: Some Guest Investigators (~dozen) will be sources selected to study previously known or suspected gamma-ray
- Science requires broad band (radio to gamma-rays) study of including guest investigators. observing program will be determined entirely by the community these celestial sources. Therefore, following the survey, the
- LAT and GBM team members can compete.
- Non-US investigators may apply
- Guest Investigators (from both DoE and NASA) can compete.
- Selection is based on peer reviewed proposals
- NASA to fund ~100 Guest Investigations each year.
- Science Support Center in much the same way that was done for the Compton Gamma Ray Observatory. The community will interface to the GLAST data through the
- Mirror sites in Europe



- Guest Investigator Program: NRA's are released by NASA
- Investigations are idea driven.
- All GI rights are awarded by NASA using peer review process
- without impact to survey, some specific sources First year a dozen or so GIs to study, in parallel with GLAST team but
- For years two and beyond, observing program TBD by GIs.
- driven, more than one investigator may have access to any given data. Data are put into the archive within a few days. Since the awards are idea
- investigation A GI has 3 months to verify the data for the purpose of the chosen
- data set meets the requirements of the proposed investigation and assures can take it up the investigator has some time to work on the investigation before others The 3 month "verification" period is for the purpose of determining that the
- This was controversial and is "under review"



- Level 1 data are sent to the SSC and placed in databases
- Within 48 hours (1 day at Stanford and 1 day at the SSC)
- web Science topics reserved to specific investigators are listed on the
- Any "qualified investigator" can get access to the data
- Meets requirement to make the data "public" immediately
- Who is a "Qualified investigator"?
- Persons identified as scientists (or in training to be scientists) as sponsorship evidenced by refereed publications and/or institutional affiliation or
- Persons who understand and agree to abide by the restrictions on data use as listed on the Web site
- Persons who will use the data for legitimate scientific investigations
- Databases will be "password protected"
- Policed by honor system. If there is a complaint, and it is determined and the individual will not longer be "qualified". by review that a "violation" has occurred, password will be revoked



- Key Projects
- Key projects are solicited and awarded through competitive peer review
- They are large and may involve new observations (pointed or scanning) or large scale data mining.
- done by the LAT instrument team. development of the catalog of sources and the all-sky map being Key projects may be proposed for first year data, except for the
- NRA issued prior to launch and open to all.
- A "Legacy" program?
- HST deep survey was a "Legacy" program
- Pointed survey of the galactic plane
- Pulsar searches
- Improved data on the galactic diffuse
- Other examples?



- The Science Support Center
- Plan and schedule observations
- Review all commands that affect the time line
- Implement Targets of Opportunity selected by the Project Scientist
- Produce and maintain exposure maps
- Archive all data and data products, tools, and documentation
- Outreach to the public and support EPO
- Support the GLAST Guest Investigator Program
- Support headquarters proposal evaluation and selection
- Provide GIs access to all analysis resources
- Assure that GLAST and OSS data policies are implemented
- tools Collaborates with the IOCs to define and develop analysis



- Coordinating Gamma-ray burst science
- Following on the work of the Ritz group and seeing what else needs to be done to coordinate science planning
- Much GRB science should be "joint" between LAT and GBM.
- What topics and how to approach this subject overall sounds like a good topic for the SWG meeting.
- a few GRB science items that might launch a profitable discussion: Off the top of my head (and including some items mentioned by Chip), here are
- (1) authorship on GRB papers
- (2) joint spectral analysis
- (3) a GRB science session at the next SWG
- (4) ground-based collaborations -- which have somewhat different requirements than for, e.g., AGN observations
- (5) refined alerts (?), if in fact several dumps per day becomes a reality
- (6) membership in joint GRB science group -- I have several (many) names compiled already representing LAT and GBM -- there may be an issue of too many people, but then only some will want to work
- Others will have additional GRB items that Chip and I have not listed.