Propagation of CR electrons and the interpretation of diffuse <u>_-rays</u>

Andy Strong, MPE, Garching GLAST Workshop, Rome, 17 Sept 2003

with Igor Moskalenko & Olaf Reimer

* What's new in interpretation of EGRET diffuse g-rays

- * origin of the GeV excess
- * => implications for CR electrons
- * New extragalactic spectrum



Direct evidence for Galactic electrons а

WMAP will provide constraints on electron propagation

Benneff et al. (2003) ApJS 148, 97

Spectral steepening: evidence for electron propagation from disk to halo Excellent data for testing electron propagation models



3.4 β(408 MHz, 23 GHz) -2.3





BESS 1999 (arb units) ICRC 2003

normalized at 20 GeV

Still uncertainty in absolute fluxes even at 1-10 GeV !

Computing diffuse g-rays

galprop mode

2D / 3D / equilibrium / time dependent, stochastic sources

CR propagation: primary, secondary, e^+ , p^- etc.

Injection -- diffusion -- convection-- energy-loss - reacceleration

_-rays : using HI, CO, interstellar radiation field



Electrons: propagation complex due to rapid losses Interstellar radiation field not well enough known can improve with e.g. WMAP data big project, but required for GLAST-quality data



Local electron spectrum may not be good guide Time-dependent model needed.





bremsstrahlung < 1 GeV, less than originally thought

minor role?

E_{electron}~ E_{gamma} 10-1000 MeV, hard to observe – solar modulation true situation at low energies very uncertain Normal electron spectrum leads to well-known discrepancy (GeV excess) Is it the CR spectrum or could it be due to sources

(e.g. SNR show the hard particle injection spectrum)



Strong, Moskalenko,Reimer ICRC 2003 astroph/0306346

Hard proton spectrum ? : excluded using secondary positrons, antiprotons!

Excess present also in outer Galaxy and high latitudes -> source hypothesis unlikely -> need hard electron spectrum



Hard electron injection spectrum improves fit and away from inner Galaxy is satisfactory



Hard electron injection spectrum (E^{-1.9})can improve fit but still require another component for inner Galaxy (SNR ?)



3 TeV cutoff in electron injection Milagro 20°< / <100°

Milagro, inner Galaxy Fleysher 28th ICRC 2003

8 +- 3.3 10^{-10} cm⁻² sr⁻¹ s⁻¹ > 1 TeV for E^{-2.63}

Need cutoff in electrons at few TeV to avoid too much IC

In this scenario, inverse Compton dominates at low energies and equals π° -decay at high energies Testable by GLAST



Latitude distribution can distinguish inverse Compton (also effect on estimates of extragalactic background)



SNR Electron spectra from non-linear shock models by Ellison et al. 2000 ApJ 540, 292:

hard spectrum to ~ TeV with TeV cutoff as required by diffuse _-rays!

NB

this is spectrum in SNR, but what is spectrum of escaping electrons which give diffuse _-rays?



Effect of stochastic SNR on electron distribution







Electron spectrum fluctuates with position



Inverse Compton shows fluctuations reflecting stochastic SNR

Should be detectable by GLAST



Swordy, ICRC 2003



BLUE Plerion-type (Kobayashi et al.

Electrons from nearby/recent SNR $r \sim \sqrt{Dt}$ $D \sim E^{0.3-0.6}$ $dE/dt \sim E^2$ For SNR younger than 10⁵ yr only >TeV electrons have reached us even for distance 300 pc. Cutoff by energy losses. Only Vela, Monogem, Cygnus Loop may give individual signature.



LOW ENERGIES < 30 MeV



Electron energy losses large

< 100 MeV ionization

_- rays from bremsstrahlung < 1 GeV, less than originally thought -minor role?

E_{electron}~ E_{gamma} 10-1000 MeV, hard to observe – solar modulation interstellar spectrum at low energies

<u>very uncertain</u>

Below 100 MeV not clear if CR or source population

Solar modulation of electrons: Computed electron spectra (Galactic + Jovian) for Ulysses Ferreira et al. 2003 ApJ 594, 552



Pulsar distribution: Lorimer IAU 218, (2004) astroph/0308501 914 pulsars



Pulsars max/solar = 3.3 cf. gammas ~

Better determined than SNR

The classical gradient problem for SNR origin is still with us

Gamma-ray longitude profiles 300-500 MeV



New estimate of extragalactic diffuse spectrum in EGRET range using galprop model of Galactic emission



Strong Moskalenko Reimer 2003 28th ICRC astroph/0306345

Difference mainly due to larger Galactic inverse Compton emission

