Diffuse TeV Gamma Rays from the Galactic Plane with Milagro

> Brenda Dingus For the Milagro collaboration (Roman Fleysher/NYU PhD. Thesis)



### The Milagro Collaboration

R. Atkins,<sup>1</sup> W. Benbow,<sup>2,9</sup> D. Berley,<sup>3</sup> E. Blaufuss,<sup>3</sup> J. Bussons,<sup>3,10</sup> D. G. Coyne,<sup>2</sup>
T. DeYoung,<sup>2,3</sup> B. L. Dingus,<sup>7</sup> D. E. Dorfan,<sup>2</sup> R. W. Ellsworth,<sup>4</sup> L. Fleysher,<sup>6</sup> R. Fleysher,<sup>6</sup>
G. Gisler,<sup>7</sup> M. M. Gonzalez,<sup>1</sup> J. A. Goodman,<sup>3</sup> T. J. Haines,<sup>7</sup> E. Hays,<sup>3</sup> C. M. Hoffman,<sup>7</sup>
L. A. Kelley,<sup>2</sup> J. E. McEnery,<sup>1,11</sup> R. S. Miller,<sup>5</sup> A. I. Mincer,<sup>6</sup> M. F. Morales,<sup>2,12</sup>
P. Nemethy,<sup>6</sup> D. Noyes,<sup>3</sup> J. M. Ryan,<sup>5</sup> F. W. Samuelson,<sup>7</sup> A. Shoup,<sup>8</sup> G. Sinnis,<sup>7</sup>
A. J. Smith,<sup>3</sup> G. W. Sullivan,<sup>3</sup> D. A. Williams,<sup>2</sup> S. Westerhoff,<sup>2,13</sup> M. E. Wilson,<sup>1</sup> X. Xu<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706
 <sup>8</sup>University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064
 <sup>9</sup>Now at Max Planck Institute, Heidelberg, Germany
 <sup>9</sup>University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742
 <sup>10</sup>Now at Universite de Montpellier II, Montpellier, France
 <sup>4</sup>George Mason University, Fairfax, VA 22030
 <sup>11</sup>Now at NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20
 <sup>5</sup>University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 0382
 <sup>12</sup>Now at Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA
 <sup>6</sup>New York University, New York, NY 10003
 <sup>13</sup>Now at Columbia University, New York, NY 10027
 <sup>7</sup>Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM

## Milagro Schematic

- Use water to detect Extensive Air Shower particles
- 100% of the area is sensitive so ~50% of all particles hitting the water are detected.



Median energy ~2.5 TeV (for this analysis) High duty cycle (~90%) Large field of view (~2 sr) Good background rejection (~90%) Trigger Rate 1.7 kHz

## Milagro Detector



# **Background Rejection in Milagro**

Hadronic cosmic ray showers contain penetrating particles
 Muons and hadrons

Deposit energy deep in Milagro – use bottom layer



### Diffuse Emission from The Galaxy

2 Years of Data: 12/00-12/02 Milagro Exposure to Galaxy



Inner galaxy: 20-100 degrees Outer galaxy: 140-220 degrees Gamma-ray cut applied to data

## **Background Estimation**

- 721 days of data analyzed
  - Nfit > 20, zenith angle  $< 50^{\circ}$ , C>2.5
- Background estimation
  - Extended source requires modification of our standard technique
  - Use data taken at different time with same local coordinates.
  - Use 8 hours of data to obtain background
  - Correct for changing response of detector (breathing of atmosphere)
  - Remove source region from background estimate



Fractional Excess



Outer Galaxy 140°<b<220°

Inner Galaxy 20°<b<100°

#### Investigation of Systematic Effects

- Independent analysis performed
  - Different implementation of background estimation method
- Large scale anisotropy present?
  - Fit latitude profile (excluding galactic plane)
- Global check of entire analysis
  - Repeat analysis with data not passing  $\gamma$ -ray cut (10x the statistics)
  - Confirms ability to estimate background to 1 part in 10<sup>5</sup>
  - Confirms the existence of a small contribution from a large-scale anisotropy





### <u>Global Cross Check</u> Without Gamma-Ray Cut on Data



# Galactic Plane Results

	Inner Galaxy		Outer Galaxy	
	Raw	Subtracting Anisotropy	Raw	Subtracting Anisotropy
Excess/ Bkg	58,301/ 1.99x10 <sup>8</sup> 3.7σ	na	-20,790/ 2.12x10 <sup>8</sup> -1.2σ	na
$F_{\gamma}/F_{cr}$ x10 <sup>-5</sup>	$6.5 \pm 1.8$ 3.7 $\sigma$	$3.1 \pm 1.1$ 2.8 $\sigma$	$-2.2 \pm 1.8$ -1.2 $\sigma$	$1.1 \pm 2.2$ $0.5 \sigma$
$F_{\gamma}(>1 \text{ TeV})$ 10 <sup>-10</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> sec <sup>-1</sup> sr <sup>-1</sup>	na	5.3 ± 1.9 <8.0 (90% C.L.)	na	< 4.8 (90% CL)



#### Cautionary Note

EGRET measurement averaged over different longitude band than Milagro measurement.

## Conclusions

- Milagro observations of the galactic plane are the most sensitive at TeV energies
- Systematic errors are controlled to  $<< 10^{-4}$
- Indications of large scale anisotropy in cosmicrays (non-gamma-ray) data
- Observed a signal of marginal statistical significance  $(2.8 \sigma)$  after the subtraction of a large scale anisotropy.
- Must wait for 2-3 more years of data for a solid detection

Background Rejection: C

#### Search for large pulses in small number of tubes

 $C = \frac{NBottom(>2Pes)}{PE_{Max}(Bottom)}$ 

Demand C > 2.5

Retain:

53% of Gammas

11% of Protons/Data

Q = 1.7



## **Expected Signal from EGRET**



## Removal of Source Region



- -25% effect on significance of observation
- Local distortion of background

**Fractional Excess** 

Fractional Excess



Outer Galaxy

Inner Galaxy

arge Scale Anisotropy