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## Milagro Schematic

- Use water to detect Extensive Air Shower particles
- $100 \%$ of the area is sensitive so $\sim 50 \%$ of all particles hitting


Median energy $\sim 2.5 \mathrm{TeV}$ (for this analysis)
High duty cycle ( $\sim 90 \%$ )
Large field of view ( $\sim 2 \mathrm{sr}$ )
Good background rejection (~90\%)
Trigger Rate 1.7 kHz

## Milagro Detector



## Background Rejection in Milagro

$>$ Hadronic cosmic ray showers contain penetrating particles
$>$ Muons and hadrons
$>$ Deposit energy deep in Milagro - use bottom layer


## Gammas



## Diffuse Emission from The Galaxy

2 Years of Data: 12/00-12/02 Milagro Exposure to Galaxy


Inner galaxy: 20-100 degrees
Outer galaxy: 140-220 degrees
Gamma-ray cut applied to data

## Background Estimation

- 721 days of data analyzed
- Nfit $>20$, zenith angle $<50^{\circ}, \mathrm{C}>2.5$
- Background estimation
- Extended source requires modification of our standard technique
- Use data taken at different time with same local coordinates.
- Use 8 hours of data to obtain background
- Correct for changing response of detector (breathing of atmosphere)
- Remove source region from background estimate

Effect of atmospheric temperature cycling


Time of Day UT ( $1 / 2 \mathrm{hr}$ bins)

Outer Galaxy
$140^{\circ}<b<220^{\circ}$


Inner Galaxy
$20^{\circ}<b<100^{\circ}$

## Investigation of Systematic Effects

- Independent analysis performed
- Different implementation of background estimation method
- Large scale anisotropy present?
- Fit latitude profile (excluding galactic plane)
- Global check of entire analysis
- Repeat analysis with data not passing $\gamma$-ray cut (10x the statistics)
- Confirms ability to estimate background to 1 part in $10^{5}$
- Confirms the existence of a small contribution from a large-scale anisotropy





## Global Cross Check Without Gamma-Ray Cut on Data



## Galactic Plane Results

|  | Inner Galaxy |  | Outer Galaxy |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raw | Subtracting Anisotropy | Raw | Subtracting Anisotropy |
| Excess/ Bkg | $\begin{aligned} & 58,301 / \\ & 1.99 \times 10^{8} \\ & 3.7 \sigma \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | na | $\begin{aligned} & -20,790 / \\ & 2.12 \times 10^{8} \\ & -1.2 \sigma \end{aligned}$ | na |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\gamma} / \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{cr}} \\ & \mathrm{x} 10^{-5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.5 \pm 1.8 \\ 3.7 \sigma \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.1 \pm 1.1 \\ 2.8 \sigma \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.2 \pm 1.8 \\ -1.2 \sigma \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.1 \pm 2.2 \\ 0.5 \sigma \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F}_{\gamma}(>1 \mathrm{TeV}) \\ & 10^{-10} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{sec}^{-1} \mathrm{sr}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | na | $\begin{aligned} & 5.3 \pm 1.9 \\ & <8.0(90 \% \text { c.L. }) \end{aligned}$ | na | $\begin{gathered} <4.8 \\ (90 \% \mathrm{CL}) \end{gathered}$ |



## Cautionary Note

EGRET measurement averaged over different longitude band than Milagro measurement.

## Conclusions

- Milagro observations of the galactic plane are the most sensitive at TeV energies
- Systematic errors are controlled to $\ll 10^{-4}$
- Indications of large scale anisotropy in cosmicrays (non-gamma-ray) data
- Observed a signal of marginal statistical significance ( $2.8 \sigma$ ) after the subtraction of a large scale anisotropy.
- Must wait for 2-3 more years of data for a solid detection


## Background Rejection: $C$

Search for large pulses in small number of tubes

$$
C=\frac{\text { NBottom }(>2 \text { Pes })}{P E_{\text {Max }}(\text { Bottom })}
$$

## Demand $\mathrm{C}>2.5$

Retain:
$53 \%$ of Gammas
11\% of Protons/Data
$\mathrm{Q}=1.7$


## Expected Signal from EGRET



## Removal of Source Region




- $-25 \%$ effect on significance of observation
- Local distortion of background

Fractional Excess


Outer Galaxy

Fractional Excess

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  | $Q$ | $Q$ |
| 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | - | $N$ |



Inner Galaxy
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