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• Mechanical Integration
  – Develop I&T procedures for flight integration
• Functional Tests
  – Develop I&T EGSE framework for flight integration
  – Develop test suite for I&T flight integration
  – Test functionality of individual hardware
  – Test functionality of integrated hardware
• Flight Software Development
  – Preliminary discussions indicate that any FSW use depends on successful characterization of integrated system during functional tests
• Particle Data Taking
  – Measure position resolution in CAL using TKR tracks
  – Reconstruct Photons with TKR trigger
  – Measure VDG spectrum in EM CAL with CAL trigger
EM Interfaces

We have already exercised the entire chain one month prior to the end of the EM test (Oct 14, 2003)
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**EM Test Flow**

**Stand-alone TKR Mini-Tower tests**

- **AUG 22 - 26**
  - Receive TKR mini-tower at SLAC
  - TKR Mini-tower Post-Ship Test
  - Install mini-tower on EM single bay

**Stand-alone CAL tests**

- **AUG 7 - 8**
  - Receive CAL Module at SLAC from NRL
  - CAL Module Post-Ship Test (in shipping container)
  - Install CAL Module in EM Single Bay
  - Single Bay Electrical Performance Tests

AUG 11 – AUG 27
CAL script migration

**AUG 27**

AUG 28

AUG 28-29 (individual tests)
SEP 1 - 12 (Integrated script development)
SEP 15-16 (integrated test)

**This is where we are**

- **SEP 17 - OCT 1**
  - Cosmic ray data taking
  - Van de Graaff data taking

- **SEP 19 - OCT 9**
  - Flight Software Development

- **OCT 17**
  - EM CAL Shipped to NRL and on dock

---
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# Mechanical Integration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aug 7</td>
<td>CAL Arrival @ SLAC</td>
<td>On time delivery !</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 22</td>
<td>TKR Arrival @ SLAC</td>
<td>On time delivery !</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 27</td>
<td>Install TKR in single bay</td>
<td>Cable problems delayed integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 28</td>
<td>Install CAL in single bay</td>
<td>TKR and CAL integrated in 1 day (Sep 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 16</td>
<td>Cosmic Ray Set Up Ready</td>
<td>Preliminary tests of scintillators have been done. We are developing one set-up for CAL and another for TKR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 1</td>
<td>VDG Set Up Ready</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 17</td>
<td>CAL back at NRL dock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thanks to everyone’s effort we are on schedule !
TKR Installation
CAL Installation
## Functional Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aug 7-8</td>
<td>Test CAL using TEM/PS and CAL EGSE</td>
<td>Good preliminary documentation from CAL including tests, plots and reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 11-27</td>
<td>CAL script migration to I&amp;T EGSE system</td>
<td>First release to CAL by Sep 5. We are at a stage that the CAL LATTE scripts allow us to support the integrated system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 25-26</td>
<td>Test TKR using another TEM/PS and I&amp;T EGSE</td>
<td>We have developed a concise set of Limited Functional Tests. Problems found prior to delivery at SLAC are being investigated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 28-29</td>
<td>Test TKR/CAL integrated system</td>
<td>Done by Sep 2 thanks to the incredible effort of the EM crew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 1-12</td>
<td>CAL script migration (if necessary) and script development for integrated system</td>
<td>In progress, but necessary infrastructure is ready to support integrated tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 15-16</td>
<td>Test TKR/CAL integrated system (set baseline for particle data taking)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thanks to everyone’s effort we are on schedule!
Data Taking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sep 17-26</td>
<td>Cosmic rays with TKR trigger vertical position</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 29</td>
<td>Cosmic rays with TKR trigger vertical position (Threshold scan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep 30</td>
<td>Cosmic rays with TKR trigger vertical position (Bias scan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 1</td>
<td>Cosmic rays with TKR trigger horizontal position</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 2-3</td>
<td>VDG Data Taking TKR trigger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct 6</td>
<td>VDG Data Taking CAL trigger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On Sep 4 we presented our concerns: “We believe we can maintain schedule but we need help from Collaborators to “fully” characterize the system prior to data taking.”

After our request we have more people on board to support the effort
TKR (Marcus, Hiro and Johann)
CAL (Gilles, Pol and Berrie)
At last the CAL goes first!

• **Highlights**
  – On time delivery with support from 3 CAL people
  – Preliminary documentation allowed I&T to evaluate the acceptance criteria
  – Although some of mechanical procedures were missing, they were developed in a timely fashion in conjunction with the I&T group
  – Since delivery, CAL and I&T have been holding weekly meetings to resolve issues and prepare for integrated system tests
TKR Mini Tower is back…

• Highlights
  – Italian Collaborators put a considerable effort to beef up the documentation and to understand the system prior to its delivery
  – On time delivery with support from 1 person (but he never stops to work so we can count him as 2 !)
  – Some problems identified prior to shipment continue to be investigated at SLAC, and TKR is taking the lead to solve them in conjunction with ELX and I&T (Bravo Luca !)
  – UCSC post docs have also come to SLAC to participate in the EM effort
I&T Coordination

- **Highlights**
  - The implementation of the I&T Plan has been essential to identify processes and issues, which need to be resolved for flight integration
  - The rapid development of tools and procedures and the commitment of the people involved have kept the EM on schedule
  - The EM effort has produced a positive effect on the communication across subsystems
ELX is guiding the tests

- **Highlights**
  - The electronics crew have put extra effort in guiding the EM crew throughout the debugging procedures to fully characterize the system.
  - They even organized an EM dinner to foster the Team spirit (thanks Mike!)
SAS is also on board

- Highlights
  - SAS has already produced test files for offline processing in time for us to have preliminary results for this talk! (thanks Joanne and Heather)
  - Their contribution will increase as we provide feedback to validate the process
CAL/TKR Integration

CAL being lowered while the EM crew protect the TKR cables
CAL/TKR Integration

CAL being lowered using the alignment fixture
CAL/TKR Integration

These are the master minds behind the smooth mechanical integration
Online System

Slides (and work!) from Ric Claus

- **Instances**
  - > 20 (software & hardware) – for status see next slide
  - ~ 40 additional instances have already been requested

- **EGSE Migration**
  - All EGSE software delivered to I&T will conform to the I&T supported LATTE
  - Any missing functionality, desires, etc… please contact Ric Claus claus@slac.stanford.edu

- **Future**
  - Migrate to include more FSW in the system
  - Development towards IOC in collaboration with the IOC subsystem
Online System Status

Slides (and work!) from Ric Clauss

• LAT Test Executive (LATTE) v1.7 released
  – Test Executive
  – Commanding
  – Register Browser
  – Event Data Handling (recording, playback, analysis)
  – Event Data Visualization (histograms, event display)
  – Monitoring (subset of housekeeping)
• Application scripts progressing towards production level
  – ACD, CAL, TKR, ELX
• All software is configuration managed
  – Code is in CVS
  – Test Data
  – Electronic log book (to allow visualization)
• Software verification on LAT testbed
Electronic Logbook

Allows parallel activities and contains a mate/demate log (not shown in this slide).

Thanks Xin and Selim!
Integrated System – GOSED

Preliminary data

GLAST
Online
Single Event Display

Preliminary Data taken with the integrated system

Thanks Alicia, Jim, Luca, and Selim!

CAL
• Pedestal subtracted
• Zero suppress off
• 4 range off
Integrated System - Online Results

Preliminary data

Pedestal subtracted

Hit Map (6, 7, 0)

TOT (6, 7, 0)
Online/Offline Interface

- Thanks to the effort of Ric Claus, Joanne Bogart, Heather Kelly, Leon Rochester and Tracy Usher who developed the online/offline interface.

- Preliminary results presented in the following slides were produced by Xin Chen
TKR Hit Strip Occupancy
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Most of events have only 1 track, which is consistent with expectations from a cosmic ray distribution
Reconstructed Direction Cosines - DATA

As expected, distributions are symmetric in X and Y

Cosmic Rays

As expected, most of the particles come from the top
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We expected to see hits in every SSD…
Silicon Detector – Cross Section (1)

- Strip pitch: 0.228 mm
- 1536 strips / layer
- Si thickness: 0.400 mm
Silicon Detector – Cross Section (2)
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Silicon Detector – Cross Section (3)
Electron/hole pairs can drift to a neighboring strip if the track passes through a “diffusion zone,”

approx 6 µm

Strip pitch 0.228 mm
Silicon Detector – Cross Section (5)
Geometrical model for toy MC

Before using the full GLEAM Monte Carlo we tested our intuition on the measurement by building a toy Monte Carlo simulation based mostly on a geometrical description.

\[ \tan \phi = \frac{l}{t} \]

Add Path length threshold (~ _ of 228 \( \mu \text{m} \))
Add Diffusion zones (7 \( \mu \text{m} \))
Angular correlation

Restricting the azimuthal angle to a small “pie slice”, we attain events in an approximately two-dimensional plane

\( \Delta \theta \)

3 strips hit
1 strip hit

2 strips hit
2 strips hit
Preliminary Results from EM

Number of strips hit in each X layer averaged by zenith angle

Threshold in Monte Carlo matches that used during data taking (114 μm = 0.29 MIPS)

Does not use full GLEAM Monte Carlo, but a toy simulation based mostly on a geometrical description

CUTS
• 1 track
• 1 cluster per layer
• Projected track within 8 degrees from Y axis
Mahalo !!

Thanks to Debbie, Diana and Chris for making life less stressful during the EM effort!

Procedures for eating pizza
- Check for space in the table
- Open the box
- Use a fork to cut the pizza
- Do not get your hands dirty

It is so good to be out of a meeting

I better eat this pizza before the physicists arrive!
Summary

- We have successfully integrated CAL/ELX/TKR into a single bay and collected preliminary data.
- In the EM effort we have uncovered issues, which require further investigation (mostly interfaces) and begin improving the processes. These were not the subject of this talk since a full report will be provided at the end EM effort (stay tuned!)
- We are in the process of system characterization/debugging and infrastructure development for data taking.
- We are building the team spirit and we are confident we will get this “puppy” together.
- Can’t thank enough for everyone’s participation including the strong support and trust from the Project Management!